

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

# Resuscitation

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/resuscitation](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/resuscitation)

## European Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021: Epidemiology of cardiac arrest in Europe



Jan-Thorsten Gräsner<sup>a,n,\*</sup>, Johan Herlitz<sup>b</sup>, Ingvild B.M. Tjelmeland<sup>a,c</sup>, Jan Wnent<sup>a,n,o</sup>,  
Siobhan Masterson<sup>d</sup>, Gisela Lilja<sup>e</sup>, Berthold Bein<sup>f,g</sup>, Bernd W. Böttiger<sup>h</sup>,  
Fernando Rosell-Ortiz<sup>i</sup>, Jerry P Nolan<sup>j,k</sup>, Leo Bossaert<sup>l</sup>, Gavin D. Perkins<sup>j,m</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Institute for Emergency Medicine, Kiel, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Prehospiten-Centre for Prehospital Research, Faculty of Caring Science, Work-Life and Social Welfare, University of Borås, Borås, Sweden

<sup>c</sup> Division of Prehospital Services, Oslo University Hospital, Norway

<sup>d</sup> National Ambulance Service and National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

<sup>e</sup> Lund University, Skane University Hospital, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Neurology, Lund, Sweden

<sup>f</sup> Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Asklepios Hospital St. Georg, Hamburg, Germany

<sup>g</sup> Semmelweis University, Faculty of Medicine, Hamburg, Germany

<sup>h</sup> Medical Faculty and University Hospital of Cologne, Germany

<sup>i</sup> Servicio de Urgencias y Emergencias 061 de La Rioja, Spain

<sup>j</sup> Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

<sup>k</sup> Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Royal United Hospital, Bath, UK

<sup>l</sup> University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

<sup>m</sup> University Hospital Birmingham, Birmingham, B9 5SS, UK

<sup>n</sup> University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Kiel, Germany

<sup>o</sup> University of Namibia, School of Medicine, Windhoek, Namibia

### Abstract

In this section of the European Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021, key information on the epidemiology and outcome of in and out of hospital cardiac arrest are presented. Key contributions from the European Registry of Cardiac Arrest (EuReCa) collaboration are highlighted. Recommendations are presented to enable health systems to develop registries as a platform for quality improvement and to inform health system planning and responses to cardiac arrest.

**Keywords:** Cardiac arrest, Epidemiology, Incidence of cardiac arrest

### Introduction and scope

Sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) is the third leading cause of death in Europe.<sup>1–3</sup> Considerable effort has been made to understand the background and causes for cardiac arrest, and the differences in the incidence within and between countries. Factors influencing survival after out-of-hospital-cardiac arrest (OHCA) and in-hospital-cardiac arrest (IHCA) are well established but there remains considerable variation in incidence and outcome. Variation may arise from

differences in collecting data (e.g. case definition, ascertainment methods and outcome verification); case-mix (e.g. age, socio-economic status, co-morbidities), structure (e.g. different types of emergency medical services (EMS) systems or differences in the organisation of teams responding to IHCA; geographical variation, use of community responder schemes), process of care (e.g. EMS response time, time to defibrillation, post resuscitation care) as well as differences in the quality of treatment provided by individual practitioners (e.g. quality of CPR, interventions provided, decisions about when to start and stop resuscitation).<sup>4</sup> In the early 1990s, the

\* Corresponding author.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.02.007>

first Utstein recommendations were published to help researchers and practitioners report the same data points using the same definitions.<sup>5</sup> It was anticipated that this would lead to a better understanding of the epidemiology of cardiac arrest, facilitate inter-system and intra-system comparisons, enable comparison of the benefits of different system approaches, act as a driver to quality improvement, identify gaps in knowledge, and support clinical research.<sup>6</sup>

Correct and reliable data are needed to understand causes, treatment and outcome after a cardiac arrest regardless of the place where it occurs. In this chapter, we provide an overview of causes, incidences and outcome of cardiac arrest in Europe. It is important that local situations are considered when results are benchmarked.

### Search strategy

Individual search strategies were constructed for each section of this guideline. Searches were done in Medline. Only publications in English from the last 10 years were included, unless there was very little literature available. Abstracts were reviewed by at least two authors and relevant articles were read in full-text. Any studies that were obviously not about European patients and populations were excluded.

### Europe and the world

The incidence of IHCA beyond Europe is most comprehensively described using data from the American Heart Association's Get With The Guidelines-Resuscitation Registry.<sup>2</sup> From 2003 to 2007, the estimated incidence of IHCA in the United States was approximately 6–7 cardiac arrests per 1,000 hospital admissions.<sup>7</sup> Data also from the Get With The Guidelines-Resuscitation Registry from 2017 was used to estimate percentage survival to hospital discharge of 25%.<sup>8</sup> Data from the UK National Cardiac Arrest Audit (NCAA) and from the Danish In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest Registry (DANARREST) both document lower incidences of IHCA (1.6 and 1.8 per 1,000 hospital admissions respectively) compared with the United States.<sup>9,10</sup> Outside of Europe, multiple studies of OHCA incidence and outcome have been published reporting survival rates between 3–6% in Asia,<sup>11</sup> 11% in USA<sup>11a</sup> and 12% in Australia and New Zealand.<sup>12</sup> While some of the variation observed between these studies is because of patient, area and, country-level differences, there are calculation and categorisation differences which add to the degree of variation.

These guidelines were drafted and agreed by the Epidemiology Writing Group members. The methodology used for guideline development is presented in the Executive summary. The guidelines were posted for public comment in October 2020. The feedback was reviewed by the writing group and the guideline was updated where relevant. The Guideline was presented to and approved by the ERC General Assembly on 10th December 2020.

## Key facts

### Out of hospital cardiac arrest

- Twenty-nine countries participated in the European Registry of Cardiac Arrest (EuReCa) collaboration.
- Out of hospital cardiac arrest registries exist in approximately 70% of European countries but the completeness of data captures varies widely.

- The annual incidence of OHCA in Europe is between 67 to 170 per 100,000 inhabitants.
- Resuscitation is attempted or continued by EMS personnel in about 50–60% of cases (between 19 to 97 per 100,000 inhabitants).
- The rate of bystander CPR varies between and within countries (average 58%, range 13%–83%).
- The use of automated external defibrillators (AEDs) remains low in Europe (average 28%, range 3.8%–59%).
- 80% of European countries provide dispatch assisted CPR and 75% have an AED registry. Most (90%) countries have access to cardiac arrest centres for post resuscitation care.
- Survival rates at hospital discharge are on average 8%, varying from 0% to 18%.
- Differences in EMS systems in Europe account for at least some of the differences observed in OHCA incidence and survival rates.

### In hospital cardiac arrest

- The annual incidence of IHCA in Europe is between 1.5 and 2.8 per 1,000 hospital admissions.
- Factors associated with survival are the initial rhythm, the place of arrest and the degree of monitoring at the time of collapse.
- Survival rates at 30 days / hospital discharge range from 15% to 34%.

### Long term outcomes

- In European countries where withdrawal of life sustaining treatment (WLST) is routinely practiced, a good neurological outcome is seen in > 90% of patients. Most patients are able to return to work.
- In countries where WLST is not practiced, poor neurological outcomes are more common (50% with 33% in a persistent vegetative state).
- Amongst survivors with a good neurological outcome, neuro-cognitive, fatigue and emotional problems are common and cause reduced health related quality of life.
- Patients and relatives may develop post-traumatic stress disorder.

### Post cardiac arrest rehabilitation

- There is wide variation in the provision of rehabilitation services following cardiac arrest.
- Many patients do not have access to post cardiac arrest rehabilitation.

## Key recommendations (expert consensus Fig. 1)

- Health systems should have population-based registries which monitor the incidence, case mix, treatment and outcomes for cardiac arrest.
- Registries should adhere to the Utstein recommendations for data definitions and outcome reporting.
- Data from registries should inform health system planning and responses to cardiac arrest.
- European countries are encouraged to participate in the EuReCa collaboration to enhance understanding of epidemiology and outcomes of cardiac arrest in Europe.



**Fig. 1 – Epidemiology infographic summary.**

- There is a need for more research and greater provision of post resuscitation rehabilitation services.
- It is expected that the clinical role of genetic and epigenetic factors will be increasingly understood as research in this area continues to grow. There are currently no specific resuscitation recommendations for patients with known genomic predispositions.

### **Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest**

#### **Incidence**

The true incidence of OHCA in Europe is not known. The available literature is largely based on reports of OHCA attended by EMS. This

**Table 1 – Reported incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and the corresponding figures of resuscitation started.**

Country	Incidence of cardiac arrest per 100,000 inhabitants	Incidence of resuscitation attempted per 100,000 inhabitants	Reference
Spain	–	19	Rosell-Ortiz 2017 <sup>20</sup>
Ireland	–	54	Irish National Out of Hospital Cardiac Arrest Register, Annual Report 2019
Sweden	–	61	The Swedish Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Registry; Det Svenska Hjärt-Lung räddningsregistret ( <a href="http://www.hlrr.se">www.hlrr.se</a> )
Norway	64	51	Tjelmeland 2020 <sup>20a</sup>
Denmark	93	86	Danish Out-of Hospital Cardiac Arrest Registry <a href="http://www.ohca.dk">www.ohca.dk</a>
Poland	170	97	Gach 2016 <sup>15</sup>

may underestimate the true incidence as in some countries, due to culture or belief, bystanders may not call the EMS when they witness a cardiac arrest. Other reasons for not calling EMS might be that the event was not witnessed, the patient was considered to be dead or had a do not attempt cardiopulmonary resuscitation (DNACPR) decision, or the patient had such severe comorbidities that it was not considered appropriate to request EMS resources. Cases of OHCA attended by EMS can be divided into two groups: 1) those where resuscitation was attempted and 2) those in whom resuscitation was not attempted. There is more information available on the number of OHCA patients who had resuscitation attempted by EMS than those patients who were attended by the EMS but not treated.

The European Registry of Cardiac Arrest (EuReCa), an international project of the European Resuscitation Council (ERC), provides the most comprehensive information on the epidemiology of cardiac arrest in Europe.<sup>1,13</sup> The reported incidence of cardiac arrest varies greatly between countries, but also between regions within countries (Table 1).<sup>14–19</sup> In the EuReCa ONE study the incidence of OHCA confirmed by EMS was estimated at 84 per 100,000 inhabitants per year, varying from 28 to 160. The estimated incidence of OHCA where resuscitation was attempted by EMS was 49 per 100,000 inhabitants, varying from 19 to 104.<sup>13</sup> The follow-up study, EuReCa TWO, collected data for three months and reported OHCA confirmed by EMS to be 89 per 100,000 inhabitants per year, varying from 53 to 166, with resuscitation attempted by EMS reported as 56 per 100,000 inhabitants, varying from 27 to 91.<sup>1</sup> The studies report that resuscitation is attempted in about 50–60% of cases attended by EMS and considered for resuscitation. However, there is likely to be substantial underreporting and the variability between countries is considerable (see Table 1).

The number of reported OHCA in Europe has increased in recent years when compared with the situation one or two decades ago. Whether these differences reflect an increased incidence or simply more comprehensive reporting is unclear. It is likely, at least in part, to be explained by improved case ascertainment methods and increased coverage by regional and national registries in recent years.

### System configuration

Variability in EMS organisation is a common theme across international registries and epistries.<sup>12,22–25</sup> It is therefore likely that differences in EMS systems in Europe account for at least some of the variation observed in OHCA survival rates. In preparation for revision of the ERC resuscitation guidelines, a survey of EMS systems was carried out across 28 European countries from October 2019 to January 2020. The survey mirrored previous findings internationally,

in demonstrating substantial variation in the number of EMS missions, level of training of EMS personnel, availability of helicopter emergency medical services (HEMS) and the availability of first responders across Europe.<sup>21</sup>

At the time of the survey, population density in participating countries varied from 3.6 to almost 510 people/km<sup>2</sup>. While population characteristics explains some of the variation, there remains large differences in fundamental metrics of EMS activity. Examples include the number of EMS missions per 1,000 inhabitants, and response times. Most countries reported having hospitals capable of providing post-resuscitation care as recommended in the previous ERC resuscitation guidelines, but there were vast differences in the number of hospitals with 24/7 emergency departments per one million inhabitants. This variation in availability and structure of health care services may explain some of the differences in survival and outcomes after cardiac arrest.

All dispatch centres were reported as being part of the EMS system in 65% of the countries in the survey, in 14% some dispatch centres were part of the EMS. The number of dispatch centres varied between 0.35 and 3.3 per one million inhabitants, meaning the size of the country or the total population was not directly associated with the number of dispatch centres. Twenty-three of 28 countries reported offering dispatch-assisted CPR (DA-CPR) and most countries reported using standardised dispatch protocols and dispatch-assisted CPR instructions. Twenty-one countries reported having AED registries, the majority of which were available in dispatch centres.

Median EMS response times for urban areas in Europe of under ten minutes were reported to be achieved in only 32% of the countries. Less than ten-minute median response times in rural areas were achieved in some areas of most countries but were not achieved consistently in any country. It is therefore encouraging that the survey identified that at least 18 European countries had established first responder systems. However, another recent European survey described many kinds of first responder systems and highlighted that regions within countries had different approaches.<sup>26</sup> The introduction of first responder systems is positive but adds further difference which need to be considered when explaining variation in outcomes.

On scene treatment of cardiac arrest patients was also reported to be different in countries across Europe. Some EMS services were obliged to start treatment when they arrived on scene and not to terminate treatment, giving a reported incidence of EMS treated OHCA over 90 per 100,000 inhabitants. In other countries EMS personnel could terminate treatment and transport patients to hospital only if they achieved return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC). Even when termination of resuscitation on scene was permitted, most countries permitted transport with ongoing CPR. However, for most

countries, specific circumstances were stipulated to allow this practice.


The previous ERC Resuscitation Guidelines recommended that patients with OHCA of presumed cardiac cause are transported to a hospital that can provide immediate cardiac revascularisation, targeted temperature control and the ability to perform neuro-prognostication. Since then there has been an increase in the number of cardiac arrest centres (CAC) in several European countries. Currently there is no unique definition of a CAC, but the usual understanding is that this is an acute care facility capable of providing early emergency coronary angiography (CAG) and intervention, target temperature management (TTM) and critical care facilities on a 24/7 basis.<sup>27</sup> Two post-resuscitation interventions are most closely associated with improved outcomes post-cardiac arrest: early CAG and TTM, particularly for patients with an initial shockable rhythm and a presumed cardiac cause of the arrest.<sup>28–37</sup> The rationale behind these interventions is discussed elsewhere. The rate of CAG and TTM provided following OHCA in Europe differs, and in the survey three countries in Europe reported that they had no hospitals that could provide all these services for OHCA patients.

In 2012 the European Parliament published a written declaration (0011/2012) recommending that all member states adopt common programs for the training of lay people and implementation of AEDs in

public places, adjusting of legislation in order to facilitate CPR and defibrillation by non-medical persons, and organization of systematic data collection on cardiac arrest for feedback and quality management (<https://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-%2f%2fEP%2f%2fNONSGML%2bWDECL%2bP7-DCL-2012-0011%2b0%2bDOC%2bPDF%2bV0%2f%2fEN>)

Registry data collection alone is not a guarantee for improved survival, but if core data variables are not available, routine monitoring and surveillance of OHCA outcomes is may be difficult. In the survey, 6 countries reported having a registry with full population coverage and 14 countries reported having a registry with partial population coverage. In these 20 countries, only 13 (65%) reported that they had information on ROSC from all participating areas, and seven (35%) reported having information on ROSC from some areas.<sup>21</sup> Having cardiac arrest registries in 20 out of the 28 responding countries means that registry data is available in many European countries. Results also suggest that a renewed focus is needed to encourage countries to ensure that survival data is a core component of data collection. This is essential to enable comparison of results and benchmarking against the countries that have achieved high survival rates (Fig. 2).<sup>6</sup>

The ILCOR systematic review found low certainty evidence that exposure to resuscitation skills rather than years of experience are associated with survival.<sup>38</sup> Whether a paramedic or physician-based



**Fig. 2 – National registries across Europe. The darkest colour indicates a national registry covering the whole country, the second darkest colour indicates a national registry covering parts of the country, medium orange indicates several local registries, light with grey indicates one local registry, grey indicates no local registries and black is unknown. White colour indicates the country did not participate in the survey.<sup>21</sup>**



EMS system effects outcomes is uncertain.<sup>39,40</sup> Differences in EMS practice in initiation of resuscitation and transport among 10 US sites was found to contribute to variation in OHCA survival,<sup>41</sup> and EMS agencies with the highest survival rates more often had: treatment from more than 6 EMS personnel; a shorter EMS call-response interval; more advanced airway attempts; and treatment from an ALS-BLS tiered system.<sup>42</sup> The ERC survey showed differences in the types of personnel employed as part of the EMS, and in the levels and types of interventions that EMS personnel were allowed to carry out independently of medical doctor supervision. Team training in CPR involving all EMS personnel was reported for some parts of 26 countries and real-time CPR performance data was collected for feedback and debriefing purposes in 16 countries but used in all areas only in Cyprus. Defibrillators were available in all EMS vehicles dispatched to OHCA while mechanical CPR devices were available in all areas of only three countries.<sup>21</sup>

### Chain of survival

In Europe, 112 is the universal emergency call number (<http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2002/21/oj>). By dialling 112, European citizens can reach an EMS dispatch centre either directly (1-step) or via an emergency call answering service which will route their call to an emergency medical dispatch centre (2-step). Most European countries also have a local emergency call number. It has been shown that the time from first ringtone to response from EMS dispatch centre is significantly longer when the call is routed via an emergency call answering service compared to directly received in an EMS dispatch centre.<sup>43</sup> In a French study it was shown that the 30-day survival for patients with OHCA was better when the initial call was received via a 1-step procedure compared with 2-step procedure.<sup>44</sup>

The Chain of Survival for victims of OHCA was initially described by Friedrich Wilhelm Ahnefeld in 1967 to emphasise all the time-sensitive interventions (represented as links) to maximise the chance of survival.<sup>45</sup> The concept was built upon in 1988 by Mary M. Newman of the Sudden Cardiac Arrest Foundation in the United States.<sup>46</sup> It was subsequently modified and updated by the American Heart Association in 1991.<sup>47</sup> The first link in the chain of survival is early recognition of a cardiac arrest and call to EMS. This goes hand in hand with early CPR measures initiated by a bystander, with or without instructions from a dispatcher (DA-CPR). Bystander CPR remains one of the key interventions in improving survival after OHCA, it can be associated with a threefold increase in survival with favourable neurological outcome.<sup>48,49</sup> Therefore, many different actions have been taken to improve bystander CPR rate throughout Europe and the world.<sup>50</sup> The ILCOR systematic review found very low certainty evidence that DA-CPR improves outcomes from cardiac arrest.<sup>51</sup> In recent years, DA-CPR seems to be one driver of an increased bystander CPR rate.<sup>48</sup> It was shown in EuReCa ONE and EuReCa TWO that the DA-CPR rate increased from 29.9% in 2014 to 53.2% in 2017, respectively.<sup>1,13</sup>

Nevertheless, bystander CPR rate varies enormously within and between European countries. The EuReCa ONE study estimated that the average bystander CPR rate across the 27 participating countries was 47.9%.<sup>13</sup> Twenty-eight European countries were included in the 2017 EuReCa TWO study, which documented a bystander CPR rate overall of 58%, ranging from 13% in Serbia to 83% in Norway.<sup>1</sup>

At least part of this variation in bystander CPR may be because the definition of bystander CPR is not uniformly interpreted across Europe. This is mainly because there has been an increasing variety of responders and responses prior to EMS arrival in the event of OHCA,

meaning it has become more difficult to define whether the person providing CPR is considered to be a bystander or lay person, or if they are considered to be part of the EMS response.<sup>52</sup>

The use of AEDs remains infrequent in Europe. In some European regions the rate of use of an AED is higher. For example, the region around Amsterdam and North-Holland has achieved AED use in 23%–59% of all OHCA cases that were attended by EMS.<sup>53,54</sup> In contrast, the use of AEDs was reported to be 15% in Sweden and in only 3.8% of OHCA cases in Copenhagen in Denmark.<sup>55,56</sup> New initiatives have been proposed to increase the use of AEDs and to increase the likelihood of having an AED deployed to the scene e.g. the use of drones<sup>57</sup> and the use of App-based systems to locate and send bystanders to attend the OHCA and start CPR immediately as well as to send a second person to get an AED.<sup>54,58–60</sup> Whether an AED is present also depends on the location of the OHCA. Approximately 49% of companies who took part in a questionnaire-based survey in Belgium in 2012 and 2014 had an AED on their premises.<sup>61</sup>

### Outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest

Scientific recommendations and policy recommendations from the European Parliament [Declaration of the European Parliament of 14 June 2012 on establishing a European cardiac arrest awareness week: <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP/TEXT+TA+P7-TA-2012-0266+0+DOC+XML+V0//EN>] have highlighted the importance of each country knowing its outcomes from OHCA and striving to improve them.<sup>62</sup>

The EuReCa TWO study reported an overall survival rate of 8% after OHCA in Europe.<sup>1</sup> A systematic review and meta-analysis which included 56 studies from Europe reported a survival to discharge rate of 11.7% (95% CI 10.5–13.0%).<sup>63</sup> A survival rate of less than 8% (less than 3% with good neurological outcome, Cerebral Performance Category (CPC) 1-2) has been reported from the Pan-Asian registry,<sup>11</sup> the Australian Aus-ROC Epistry involving Australia and New Zealand.<sup>12</sup> reports a survival of 12%, and the US reports about 11% (9% with good neurological status).<sup>8</sup> These average estimates are based on widely varying survival rates within and between participating countries. For example, in the EuReCa ONE study, average survival was estimated at 10.3%, ranging from 1.1% to 30.8% among the participating European countries. The most recent data from EuReCa TWO estimates average survival of 8% (range 0%–18%).<sup>1</sup> In recent years, survival rates have also been reported from individual European countries; England, 7.9%;<sup>16</sup> France 4.9%;<sup>64</sup> Spain 13%;<sup>20</sup> Germany 13.2%; (<https://www.reanimationsregister.de/downloads/oeffentliche-jahresberichte/rettungsdienst/142-2019-ausserklinischer-jahresbericht-2018/file.html>) Ireland 6%;<sup>65</sup> Sweden 11.2%;<sup>65</sup> Denmark 16% [[https://hjerterestopregister.dk/?page\\_id=428](https://hjerterestopregister.dk/?page_id=428)]; Norway 14%.<sup>21</sup>

Survival after OHCA is dependent on many factors beyond the initial resuscitation attempt, and variation in survival rates reflect the heterogeneous factors that have led to the OHCA. Factors that contribute to heterogeneity in survival rate include: gender;<sup>66,67</sup> cause; initial arrest rhythm;<sup>68–71</sup> previous and existing comorbidities;<sup>72,73</sup> event location;<sup>74,75</sup> socioeconomic deprivation;<sup>76,77</sup> and ethnicity.<sup>78</sup> The health organisation that provides care, the available resources and the organisation's capacity to coordinate and act in each and every one of the links in the chain of survival is also critical.<sup>79</sup> The ILCOR systematic review found very low certainty evidence that CACs improve survival from OHCA.<sup>80</sup> The availability of specific post-resuscitation measures, such as percutaneous coronary intervention

(PCI),<sup>20,35,81</sup> and TTM<sup>82–85</sup> or more centralised CAC,<sup>86,87</sup> constitute further factors that may contribute to variability in patient survival.<sup>88–93</sup> At a national level, public health policies, legal and strategic initiatives that are in operation in the broader societal network will influence the number of OHCA survivors and their subsequent quality of life.<sup>94</sup>

It is well known that even within emergency services with similar structures or between regions of the same country, there is variability in survival even when demographic considerations, characteristics of the event and the community response are taken into account.<sup>13</sup> There is also variability within the services themselves over different time periods, usually reflecting a tendency to improve survival as actions are implemented that have a demonstrated effect on final survival.<sup>25,94</sup> Variability in the percentage of attempts at resuscitation was also observed between countries in Europe and between different EMS systems in the same country.<sup>1,13,95</sup> Despite awareness of all these nuances, there remains an important part of the variability that is difficult to explain with current data capture systems.<sup>65</sup> Indeed, the variability reported between results when comparing data from prospective registries with a priori defined objectives compared with retrospective data from more administrative registries is of note.<sup>8,96</sup> The same happens when comparing data from registries with clinical trials conducted by these same services.<sup>16,97–99</sup>

Robust collection of key data elements (e.g. initial arrest rhythm, witnessed status, cause of collapse) enables analysis of survival in specific subgroups. The most recent Utstein guidelines recommend categorisation of patients<sup>6</sup> and the Utstein comparator group (bystander witnessed, shockable initial rhythm) is particularly noteworthy as the group where the chances of patient survival is typically higher, with approximately 20% reported for England and just over 30% in EuReCa.<sup>1,8,100</sup> Individual countries (Denmark, the Netherlands, Sweden, Czech Republic and Norway) in EuReCa TWO exceeded 40% survival for this group of patients.<sup>1</sup>

Survival for patients with a traumatic aetiology has been less encouraging with estimated survival between 2% in the German registry and 2.8% in EuReCa TWO and up to 6.6% with good neurological status at discharge in a cohort from a specific Spanish EMS.<sup>1,101,102</sup> Attempting resuscitation after traumatic cardiac arrest was previously considered futile,<sup>103</sup> but since 2015 a specific ERC algorithm provides specific recommendations and interventions that may result in survival.<sup>104</sup>

### Paediatric OHCA (POHCA)

The varying definition of paediatric age means that it is difficult to compare survival rates in POHCA. The most widespread definition is patients aged less than 18 years; however, some studies have included patients up to 21 years. Depending on the age groups analysed, there are different characteristics, causes and survival rates.<sup>105</sup> Most data on POHCA survival comes from American and Japanese registries,<sup>106,107</sup> with only partial data from local registries.<sup>108,109</sup> The most substantial data from Europe in terms of number of cases and trends over time, are those from the Swedish registry.<sup>110</sup> From 1990 to 2012 the Swedish registry documented an incidence of 4.9 cases per 100,000 person-years under the age of 21 years, non EMS-witnessed cases. Survival reported was as follows: infants (less than 1 year) 5.1%; young children (1–4 years) 11.0%; older children (5–12 years) 7.5%; and adolescents (12–21 years) 12.6%. For EMS-witnessed cases during 2011 and 2012, survival was 14.9%, 22.2%, 21.2% and 17.9% for the same respective age groups.<sup>111</sup> Swedish data suggest that POHCA survival has been progressively increasing.

## In-hospital cardiac arrest

As for OHCA, the true incidence of in-hospital-cardiac arrest (IHCA) is not known. The available literature is often from single centres, making generalizability difficult, and ultimately all patients who die in hospital die from a cardiac arrest. In 2019, an updated Utstein-style reporting template for IHCA was published, emphasising the importance of a common data set report form, to enable comparison between regions and countries.<sup>112</sup>

### Incidence

The true incidence of IHCA is difficult to assess for several reasons. Ultimately, all patients who die in hospital have a cardiac arrest, but all these deaths are not considered a cardiac arrest that should be considered for resuscitation. A study from Gothenburg in Sweden compared the total number of in-hospital deaths during one year with the number of attempted resuscitations and found that resuscitation was started in only 12% of all in-hospital cardiac arrests.<sup>113</sup> In Sweden, the ratio of OHCA to IHCA has been reported to be 1.7 to 1 (The Swedish Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Register (Svenska Hjärt-Lung-räddningsregistret) [November 1 2012]; Available from: [www.hlrr.se](http://www.hlrr.se)).

Many IHCA studies have limited generalisability because they were carried out in single centres. Differences in DNACPR policies between countries are likely to explain some of the variation seen in the incidence of IHCA.<sup>114</sup> There may also be difficulties in the reporting of IHCA due to logistic problems. For example, patients who develop ventricular fibrillation (VF) during a coronary angiography, which is rapidly defibrillated, may not always be reported to a registry.

There are several ways of calculating IHCA incidence: IHCA/hospital bed, IHCA/hospital admission, IHCA/country/region/city/state. The incidence of IHCA per 1,000 hospital admissions has been reported as 2.8 in Poland,<sup>115</sup> 1.8 in Denmark<sup>10</sup> and Norway (unpublished observation), 1.7 in Sweden,<sup>116</sup> 1.6 in the United Kingdom,<sup>9</sup> and 1.5 in the Piedmont region in Italy.<sup>117</sup> A study from Trondheim in Norway documented 72 IHCA events per 1,000 hospital beds.<sup>118</sup>

Gender also influences incidence. The incidence ratio of men to women for IHCA has been reported to be 1.4–1.6 to 1.<sup>118</sup> This may largely be explained by a higher prevalence and a higher mortality from cardiovascular disease in men.<sup>119</sup>

In a recently performed European survey 18 of 28 countries reported having a national registry for IHCA, but only two countries (Sweden and Denmark) reported that all hospitals were included.<sup>21</sup>

### System configuration and chain of survival

In 2017, 89.4 million people were treated on wards in hospitals throughout Europe, a number that has increased in recent years [Eurostat. Hospital discharges and length of stay statistics - Statistics Explained [cited 2020 Jan 18]. Available from: [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Hospital\\_discharges\\_and\\_length\\_of\\_stay\\_statistics#Hospital\\_discharge](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Hospital_discharges_and_length_of_stay_statistics#Hospital_discharge)] Unfortunately, medical care in the hospital is not devoid of complications and serious adverse events, which occur in approximately 10%–20% of all patients.<sup>120</sup> In a large European observational study which included approximately 46,000 post surgical patients, mortality was 4%, with large differences between countries.<sup>121</sup> The most alarming finding

from this study however, was the high proportion of 'failure to rescue', since 73% of patients who died were not admitted to critical care at any stage after surgery. Adverse events were partly caused by sub-optimal care but the majority were due to a deterioration of the underlying disease. There are two main differences between IHCA and OHCA in respect of the detection and prevention of cardiac arrest. First, in most cases, life-threatening events in hospital are heralded by a deterioration of vital signs hours or even days before the catastrophic event occurs and therefore may be detectable early and therefore preventable.<sup>120</sup> Secondly, adequate monitoring of patients should enable early detection of patients at risk and therefore the dedicated resuscitation team should preferentially function as a medical emergency team (MET) or rapid response team (RRT) instead of a pure cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) team. If these patients are monitored inadequately the life-threatening situation may be noticed too late, and an increase in rates of in-hospital CPR and unexpected deaths may follow.<sup>122</sup> This development may even accelerate due to increasing workload in the hospitals and increasing comorbidities of patients. The ILCOR 2020 Consensus on Science and Treatment Recommendations found low certainty evidence that rapid response systems reduced the incidence of IHCA and improved mortality, leading to a weak recommendation supporting the introduction of rapid response system (rapid response team/medical emergency team).<sup>38</sup> This compliments the guidance introduced by the ERC supporting the establishment of an early warning system for unexpected emergencies.<sup>122</sup>

### IHCA - Systems to detect critical illness

On June 23, 2017, The European Resuscitation Council, the European Board of Anaesthesiology and the European Society of Anaesthesiology issued a joint statement calling upon European hospitals all to use the same internal telephone number (2222) to summon help when one of their patients has a cardiac arrest. It is hoped that by implementing one emergency call number in hospital, time to call for help might be reduced.<sup>123</sup>

### IHCA – response times

Medical emergency teams (METs) or rapid response teams (RRTs) differ from pure resuscitation or cardiac arrest teams in that their goal is the timely identification and treatment of in-hospital emergencies in order to avoid cardiac arrests and unexpected deaths.<sup>124</sup> (see advanced life support guidelines). In contrast to OHCA, where the time from cardiac arrest to the initiation of resuscitation efforts (either by bystanders or the EMS) is key, data on RRT performance largely pertain to criteria triggering the alarm, comorbidities, and pre-alarm hospital length of stay of the affected patients.<sup>125</sup> Delays in treatment are associated with worse outcome.<sup>126</sup>

Data on traditional resuscitation team response times after IHCA are also scarce. However, data from a large Swedish registry showed that a delay of more than one minute from cardiac arrest to call or to start of CPR, a delay of more than 2 min from call until the arrival of the rescue team, and a delay of more than 3 min from cardiac arrest to defibrillation were all associated with worse overall outcome.<sup>127</sup>

There have been few studies that evaluate the efficacy of METs in decreasing the incidence of unexpected deaths, unplanned admission to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU), or both. A major problem is that high certainty evidence cannot be achieved because randomisation of individual patients to care delivered by a MET versus a control group is impossible. Therefore, available evidence derives mostly from observational studies, before-after designs or protocols where some

hospitals introduced a MET while other hospitals in the same area or belonging to the same hospital organization had not. In the most recent meta-analysis on this topic, which included 29 studies with 2,160,213 patients (1,107,492 in the intervention group and 1,1083,80 in the control group), METs were associated with a significantly decreased hospital mortality and incidence of cardiopulmonary arrest.<sup>124</sup> Even though it is difficult to prove the efficacy of MET implementation using evidence-based criteria, pathophysiological reasoning suggests that detection and adequate treatment of patients before a catastrophic event occurs is the right thing to do. Timing is important in many areas of acute care such as sepsis, myocardial infarction and stroke.

### IHCA outcome

Many factors will determine the outcome of patients who have an IHCA. Some of these factors can be modified and others cannot. Factors that cannot be modified include patients' age, sex and comorbidities. For example, elderly patients have a lower chance of survival after IHCA.<sup>128,129</sup> In most cases, the cause of the cardiac arrest cannot be changed. A patient who has a cardiac arrest caused by myocardial infarction/ischaemia has a much better chance of survival than a patient who has a cardiac arrest from other causes, e.g. heart failure.

A modifiable factor of great importance is the location in the hospital where the cardiac arrest occurs. If the cardiac arrest occurs on a general ward, the patient is not usually adequately monitored, and the cardiac arrest may not be witnessed. These factors are associated with a lower chance of survival.<sup>116,130</sup> Monitoring of the ECG at the time of collapse is associated with a 38% reduction in adjusted risk of death after IHCA. Location in hospital and the geographical locality are the main predictors of ECG monitoring being in place at the time of cardiac arrest.<sup>131</sup> The significant variability in ECG monitoring at different centres may indicate the need for guidelines on the use of ECG monitoring.

A first recorded rhythm of VF is predictive of a higher likelihood of survival.<sup>130,132</sup> The earlier the ECG is recorded, the higher the likelihood that the patient will present with VF.<sup>133</sup> Another factor that most often cannot be influenced is the time when the arrest is taking place. There is a higher likelihood of survival if the arrest occurs during regular working hours Monday to Friday.<sup>133</sup> Time to delivery of treatment is associated with survival among IHCA patients found in a shockable as well as a non-shockable rhythm.<sup>116</sup>

Finally, an important factor determining the chance of survival after resuscitation is the hospital's policy on DNACPR decisions. Hospitals in which a very high proportion of cardiac arrest patients have a DNACPR decision are expected to have a higher survival rate among patients with IHCA in whom resuscitation is attempted compared with hospitals in which resuscitation attempts in futile cases are more common. Thus, it is not surprising that reports on ROSC and survival to hospital discharge or 30-day survival vary considerably.<sup>9,115–118,134–137</sup> The chance of ROSC varies from 36%<sup>117</sup> to 54%<sup>135</sup> and the chance of survival to discharge/30 days varies from 15%<sup>117</sup> to 34%.<sup>135</sup>

---

## Long term survival

### Recovery and rehabilitation of cardiac arrest survivors

The Utstein-style template defines the variables to be collected in the event of OHCA and the recording methodology to be used.<sup>5</sup> Since the



introduction of the Utstein-style template, patient survival and subsequent neurological status has received increasing focus.<sup>6</sup> The number of patients who achieve sustained ROSC has remained a key variable as this is one of the first criteria in deciding whether post-resuscitation care is appropriate. In the Utstein style, neurological outcome may be reported using the Cerebral Performance Category (CPC) or modified Rankin Scale (mRS).<sup>138,139</sup> However, while these variables provide a general sense of neurological status, they do not provide specific information on the quality of life that is experienced by OHCA survivors.

### Measurement of long-term recovery in cardiac arrest patients

A systematic review from 2015 identified that 89% of randomised controlled trials of cardiac arrest did not evaluate recovery after hospital discharge, and none included the patient perspective in terms of Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) or societal participation.<sup>140</sup> More recent clinical trials have included such measures, but these are still relatively rare.<sup>141</sup> A recent survey found few registries in Europe included HRQoL measurements, despite collection of these data being encouraged in the update of the Utstein reporting framework for resuscitation registries.<sup>6,21,112</sup>

In 2018 a Core Outcome Set for Cardiac Arrest (COSCA) was published to provide guidance for standardising outcome definition, recovery assessment tools, and time-points for clinical trials involving adults.<sup>141,142</sup> More recently, further guidance for paediatric clinical trials (P-COSCA) has been published.<sup>142a</sup> Both core outcome sets were based on extensive work to identify outcomes that are important from several perspectives including patients, families, health care professionals and researchers. The adult-COSCA recommends as a minimum assessment of survival at 30-days or hospital discharge, neurological function at 30 days or hospital discharge with the mRS, and assessment of HRQoL at 90 days (and later) with either the HUI-3 (Health Utilities Index version 3), SF-36 (Short-Form 36-item Health Survey) or EQ-5D-5L (EuroQoL 5 dimensions 5 Level version). Similarly, the P-COSCA also recommends the assessment of survival and neurological outcome, assessed with the Paediatric Cerebral Performance Category (PCPC). However, three further core components of HRQoL, or life impact, are specified: cognitive function, physical function and basic daily life skills, all to be assessed with the PEDSQL (Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory) at six-months (and later). A more widespread use of the COSCA recommendations can potentially improve knowledge of long-term outcomes for cardiac arrest survivors. A limitation of COSCA guidance is that they include only a minimum number of measurements, therefore it is recommended that symptom and condition-specific measures are also used, depending on the aim of the study.

### Neurological outcome

Severe hypoxic-ischaemic brain injury is the most detrimental outcome for cardiac arrest survivors, commonly described by using ordinal hierarchical functional outcome scales such as Cerebral Performance Category scale (CPC), modified Rankin Scale (mRS) or the Glasgow Outcome Scale/Extended (GOS/GOSE). These scales are often simplified into a 'good' or 'poor' outcome by categorising patients as independent for basic activities of daily living versus dependent on others, in a vegetative state, or dead. A favourable neurological outcome is usually considered as a CPC 1 or 2, mRS 0 to 3 or GOS 4-5/GOSE 5-8.

In most European countries where Withdrawal of Life Sustaining Treatment (WLST) is routinely practiced, a poor neurological outcome

is seen in <10% of cardiac arrest survivors (Irish National Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest Register 2018 available from <https://www.nuigalway.ie/ohcar/>).<sup>143</sup> In situations where WLST is not applied, severe hypoxic-ischaemic brain injury is substantially more common. For example, an Italian study reported more than 50% (n = 119) of survivors had a poor outcome six months post-event, with one third (n = 68) in a persistent vegetative state.<sup>144</sup>

Among cardiac arrest survivors classified with a good outcome, the effects of hypoxic-ischaemic brain injury may impact on everyday life. The most frequently reported neurological sequela is neurocognitive impairment for all survivors in the early phase<sup>145</sup> and, in around 40-50%, in the long-term.<sup>146–150</sup> Most improvement in cognition occurs during the first three months,<sup>151,152</sup> but individual improvement has been reported up to one year post-event.<sup>151</sup> In a Spanish study, half of survivors (n = 79) three years post-event had cognitive impairment.<sup>146</sup> Cognitive impairment in the chronic phase is mostly mild-to-moderate, but moderate-to-severe impairment is identified in 20-26% of survivors.<sup>148,150,153</sup> Cognitive domains most often affected include: episodic/long-term memory;<sup>146,148–150,153</sup> attention/processing speed,<sup>146,148,149,153</sup> and executive functions.<sup>146,149,150,152,153</sup> Impairments in other domains have also been reported.<sup>147,153</sup>

There are currently few studies covering the neurological outcome for paediatric cardiac arrest survivors in Europe. The most comprehensive data comes from a team in the Netherlands that performed a neuropsychological examination on a sample of 41 paediatric cardiac arrest survivors (age 0–18) at two to eleven years after cardiac arrest.<sup>154</sup> At a group level general intelligence was lower compared with normal means, and domains of memory and divided attention were especially affected.<sup>154</sup> Teachers (n = 15) of the cardiac arrest survivors reported planning/organisation problems, while parents (n = 31) and patients (n = 8) did not report dysexecutive problems.<sup>154</sup> The same authors also report significantly worse attention problems among these paediatric cardiac arrest survivors,<sup>155</sup> and 15% were in need of special education.<sup>156</sup>

### Patient-reported outcomes

There is no specific Patient-reported outcome measures (PROM) for cardiac arrest.<sup>157</sup> Patient-reported outcomes of overall generic HRQoL indicate that cardiac arrest survivors – at a group level – do not differ from the general population.<sup>158,159</sup> Despite this, detailed analyses showed that several HRQoL sub-domains are poorer in cardiac arrest survivors, and symptom-specific questionnaires reveal that nuanced cardiac, cognitive, physical and emotional problems are common.<sup>147,160,161</sup> In a Swiss study, only 29% of cardiac arrest survivors (n = 50) reported no complaints,<sup>153</sup> while in another study almost 43% of survivors (n = 442) at 6 months post-arrest reported their health as worse than one year ago.<sup>162</sup> It is of note that HRQoL has been reported to continue to improve for at least the first year after cardiac arrest.<sup>159</sup>

The most prevalent patient-reported symptom after cardiac arrest is fatigue, reported by 50–71% of survivors.<sup>153,159,161</sup> Many survivors also report cognitive problems including a perception of "slowing" or problems with attention or memory.<sup>153,163,164</sup> Associations between self-reported cognitive complaints and objective cognitive performance-based measures have been mixed.<sup>152,153</sup>

Another frequently patient-reported outcome is emotional problems, which tend to be most severe in the first weeks post-arrest,<sup>159,165,166</sup> and associated with worse HRQoL.<sup>167</sup> After three months, emotional status was reported by different studies to be stable,<sup>159</sup> better,<sup>168</sup> or worse<sup>151</sup> compared with twelve months

post-arrest. Emotional problems were more common in females,<sup>168–170</sup> younger patients,<sup>162,164,168,170</sup> those with cognitive problem,<sup>170</sup> and those with comorbidities.<sup>168</sup>

Cardiac arrest survivors with hypoxic-ischaemic brain injury also have an increased risk of emotional problems,<sup>171</sup> but as these patients are often missing from analyses, the frequency of emotional problems in cardiac arrest survivors may be underestimated.<sup>170</sup> Larger studies in this area (>100 patients) using symptom-specific questionnaires report anxiety in 15–24% and/or depression in 13–15% in the long-term.<sup>159,164,169,170</sup> Symptoms of stress and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are less well studied but identified in 16–28% of survivors.<sup>159,166,172,173</sup> In one study, half of survivors reported a change in behaviour and emotion six months post-cardiac arrest (n=50).<sup>153</sup> Apathy, lack of drive and motivation were also reported in 70% of patients participating in a cognitive rehabilitation program after cardiac arrest (n=38), although this finding was more closely associated with cognitive impairment than depression.<sup>174</sup>

Relatives of cardiac arrest patients are also at significant risk of emotional problems.<sup>175–177</sup> One study from Switzerland found that 40% of relatives suffered from PTSD.<sup>177</sup> Female gender, history of depression and perception of insufficient therapeutic measures in the ICU increased the risk for PTSD, while the patient's outcome, including mortality, had no association.<sup>177</sup> Being a witness to a relation's cardiac arrest increased the risk for emotional problems,<sup>176</sup> and cognitive impairment in the survivor was associated with increased caregiver strain.<sup>175,178</sup>

Physical problems after cardiac arrest have received limited attention but results from HRQoL measurement show that many cardiac arrest survivors report physical problems.<sup>158,162,175,179</sup> Half of cardiac arrest survivors described problems working or performing other activities because of physical problems,<sup>162</sup> and 30–50% reported problems with physical health,<sup>175</sup> physical function,<sup>162</sup> or mobility.<sup>159,161,169</sup> Physical problems are more common in older survivors<sup>162,175</sup> and females.<sup>162</sup>

Patient/parent reported outcomes in paediatric cardiac arrest survivors are rare. One study (n=57) reported that the majority of paediatric survivors (2–11 years post-ICU) have no problems, while 30% reported physical problems, and 34% reported chronic symptoms such as fatigue, headache and behavioural problems.<sup>156</sup> Children (n=8) reported a HRQoL comparable to normal means, while proxy-reported HRQoL by a parent (n=45) indicated lower generic HRQoL and more physical problems. The parents own HRQoL was however better than that of the general population.<sup>156</sup>

### Ability to return to previous activities and roles (societal participation)

In a Finnish study the vast majority (>90%) of cardiac arrest survivors were able to live at home and most survivors were able to return to previous roles and high levels of participation in society.<sup>164</sup> For those of working age, 60–76% returned to at least some degree of work at six to twelve months post-arrest.<sup>158,161,164,167,180</sup> However, 47–74% of cardiac arrest survivors report restricted societal participation,<sup>147,161</sup> and many remain on sick leave,<sup>146,159,161,164,181</sup> although the amount of sick leave varies across European countries.<sup>161</sup> Feelings of less satisfaction with family and leisure time,<sup>147</sup> and problems performing usual activities are also reported.<sup>150,162,169</sup> One study reported that driving ability was significantly lower than before the cardiac arrest,<sup>146</sup> while others reported only 12–27% unable to

resume driving.<sup>153,164</sup> However, many patients with cognitive impairment were still driving,<sup>146</sup> and one quarter reported that they did not recall being informed not to drive during a period after the cardiac arrest.<sup>164</sup>

In a European multi-centre trial (n=270), predictors for decreased societal participation were depression, self-reported mobility problems, cognitive impairment and fatigue.<sup>161</sup> Another study from the Netherlands (n=110) reported only pre-morbid function as a predictor for societal participation.<sup>167</sup> Cognitive impairment increases the risk for not being able to return to work.<sup>146,151,161</sup> Predictors positively associated with return to work were male gender,<sup>180</sup> younger age,<sup>180,181</sup> a higher level job, bystander-witnessed cardiac arrest with bystander CPR<sup>180</sup> or cardiac arrest that occurred at the workplace.<sup>181</sup>

Several observational and cohort studies have included detailed measures of recovery, but previous systematic reviews in this area describe limitations that risk bias in the reported results including: small and/or heterogeneous study samples; many missing data; differences in assessment types and time-points used.<sup>147,182–184</sup> Logistical and ethical challenges with collecting detailed information beyond hospital discharge remains a critical issue for long-term recovery reporting.<sup>141</sup>

### Rehabilitation

Planning for rehabilitation after cardiac arrest requires estimation of numbers and appreciation of the changing needs of survivors.<sup>145</sup> Rehabilitation interventions for cardiac arrest survivors are often provided within programs that include other patient groups e.g. myocardial infarction or other types of acquired brain injury, e.g. traumatic brain injury (TBI).<sup>185,186</sup> Studies describing such interventions may include few cardiac arrest survivors within mixed samples, meaning the specific rehabilitation outcome for cardiac arrest patients is difficult to separate. This overview of the rehabilitation programmes in Europe therefore includes only studies specifically describing interventions for cardiac arrest survivors.

Survivors with 'poor' neurological outcome suffer profound and life-changing problems. In an Italian study, rehabilitation was provided by an interdisciplinary team for 180 min per day.<sup>186</sup> After a mean of 78 days (SD 55), 45% of patients with anoxic brain injury were able to return home. While anoxic patients had poorer recovery than other groups, they also had worse baseline cognitive impairment and functioning. A similar individualised, multidisciplinary approach was provided in a Turkish rehabilitation hospital.<sup>185</sup> This study found that when anoxic brain injured patients had similar baseline function to TBI patients, improvement rate was still slower, but the difference in rehabilitation outcome was not statistically significant. French researchers described a therapeutic intervention for institutionalised patients (n=27) with anoxic brain injury (average 8 years since event).<sup>187</sup> The intervention consisted of medication, psychotherapy, support group, and therapeutic activities provided over six months, and improved quality of life and social participation.

The rehabilitative outcome for cardiac arrest survivors with prolonged disorders of consciousness is poor although some may improve, albeit rarely. A Dutch study estimated that over 50% of patients with vegetative/unresponsive wakefulness syndrome (most because of hypoxia during cardiac arrest) received no rehabilitation services.<sup>188</sup> In Germany, in-patient rehabilitation was provided to 113 cardiac arrest survivors with disorders of consciousness.<sup>189</sup> Most improvement was observed within the first eight weeks. A minority (6.2%) of the patients achieved a good functional outcome while

80.5% remained in a persistent unresponsive state. In another German study, early neurological rehabilitation of 300 min of daily therapy (e.g. physiotherapy, occupational therapy, speech/swallowing therapy and specialised nursing care) was provided to 93 survivors with hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy (mostly caused by cardiac arrest).<sup>190</sup> After a mean of 46.4 (SD68.2) days, 24.7% were discharged with a good outcome, but in common with the previous study, 82.1% of those comatose at admission remained comatose. Finally, an Italian study, reported that even patients with improved consciousness remained severely neurological impaired at two years follow-up.<sup>191</sup>

For patients with 'good' neurological outcome the need for rehabilitation may not be recognised during the acute hospital stay.<sup>27</sup> A Swedish survey showed that in 59 out of 74 hospitals, the most common follow-up was a patient visit to a cardiac reception unit (n=42, 70%), with neurological and psychological support not often provided in a structured format. There were also major variations in follow-up.<sup>192</sup> In the 2015 European Resuscitation Guidelines on post-resuscitation care structured follow-up to screen for potential cognitive and emotional problems is recommended to identify those individuals in need of further support and rehabilitation.<sup>27</sup> National guidelines have been developed in e.g. Sweden.<sup>193</sup>

The effects of follow-up and screening were described by Moulart and colleagues in a randomised-controlled trial (n=185).<sup>194–198</sup> The intervention was performed by a trained nurse at an outpatient clinic or the patient's home and included screening for cognitive and emotional problems, provision of information and support, promotion of self-management strategies and referral to further specialised care if indicated. The first one-hour session was provided soon after discharge, with shorter follow-up sessions offered. Patients who received this intervention had better mental HRQoL one year after arrest and an earlier return to work compared with the control group. The intervention was also found to be cost-effective.<sup>194</sup>

The Essex Cardiothoracic Centre in the United Kingdom describes a similar follow-up intervention including systematic psychological, cognitive and specialised medical support for survivors and their carers for the first six months post-arrest.<sup>166</sup> Before hospital discharge, patients (n=21) were assessed by an ICU nurse and cardiologist and provided with written and video information, and social media links. A multidisciplinary appointment was provided at eight weeks, and follow-up appointments at six and twelve months. Of the patients included, 26% needed further psychological support. Overall health improved during follow-up, but no control group was included to evaluate the effect of the intervention.

A single centre in the Netherlands provides an integrated rehabilitation pathway for restoration of exercise capacity and optimal cognitive functioning.<sup>199</sup> All cardiac arrest patients eligible for rehabilitation were screened for cognitive and emotional problems approximately one month after cardiac arrest. Patients without cognitive impairment followed cardiac rehabilitation programs 'as usual', while patients with cognitive impairments followed the cardiac rehabilitation in smaller groups. After cardiac rehabilitation, a continued program with cognitive rehabilitation was offered. The program was not evaluated, but of 77 cardiac arrest survivors referred for cardiac rehabilitation, 23% had cognitive problems.<sup>200</sup>

There is currently no comprehensive evaluation of the types or numbers of rehabilitation interventions and programmes available to cardiac arrest survivors across Europe, and there is limited evidence of effect. This may be because there are few programmes, but it may

also reflect a need for more comprehensive reporting on interventions. Finally, some studies group cardiac arrest survivors with other cardiac or brain-injured patients for rehabilitation, meaning that unless the inclusion of cardiac arrest patients is explicitly stated, the types and frequency of rehabilitation available to cardiac arrest survivors may be underestimated. It is also of note that no paediatric rehabilitation studies were identified.

## Genomic variations and sudden cardiac arrest

One of the major opportunities to further reduce mortality from sudden cardiac arrest lies with individual prevention ([www.escape-net.eu](http://www.escape-net.eu)).<sup>201</sup> This requires public education and early recognition of individual patients and families at increased risk for sudden cardiac arrest. At an individual level, genomic risk factors are most probably important<sup>202</sup> but understanding of their relevance is limited ([www.escape-net.eu](http://www.escape-net.eu)).<sup>203</sup> However, in cases of unexplained cardiac arrest, genomic testing may result in more than 60% diagnostic yield of pathological genomic variations.<sup>204</sup> Unfortunately, with the exception of selected cases in families with Brugada and long-QT syndrome (LQTS),<sup>205</sup> individuals are most often not aware of their genomic disposition because of the relative lack of cardiogenetic screening.<sup>206,207</sup>

Population-based systematic studies suggest a strong genetic component to cardiac arrest in general<sup>202,208</sup> and of autopsy-negative, unexplained cardiac arrest in particular.<sup>209–213</sup> An individually unique pathophysiological interaction of environmental (smoking, social stress, air pollution, chronic noise exposure, etc.) acquired (obesity, hypertension, diabetes, myocardial ischaemia and myocardial infarction, Takutsubo syndrome, medication used, etc.<sup>214–216</sup> and genomic factors (Brugada syndrome, LQTS, arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC), catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, short QT syndrome, etc.<sup>205–207,217–227</sup>) and their combinations<sup>228</sup> determine the individual risk of sudden cardiac arrest. Moreover, genetic susceptibility to long-term alcohol effects, drug- and drug-drug interactions induced sudden cardiac arrest i.e. pharmacogenetics may be relevant at an individual level ([www.escape-net.eu](http://www.escape-net.eu)).<sup>229–232</sup>

Research in recent years has most specifically focused on patients and families with rare inherited arrhythmia syndromes and episodes that are associated with an increased risk of cardiac arrest. Several molecules and mechanisms that control cardiac electrophysiology have been identified.<sup>205–207,233,234</sup> Most genes and their variants discovered thus far are involved in regulating electrophysiology and putting the heart at a higher risk for VF.<sup>202,235–237</sup> Specific genetic predispositions leading to cardiac arrest most often affect younger patients (e.g. Brugada syndrome; Long QT Syndrome). In older patients, genetic predisposition may interact with acquired and accumulated risk factors, medication, social stress and specific diseases.<sup>238,239</sup> Different levels of risk will also be associated with different genetic variations. For example, Long-QT Syndrome can result from genetic variations in at least 12 different genes causing mutations that give rise to different degrees of negative QT prolongation which are subsequently associated with varying risk of cardiac arrhythmias.<sup>206,217</sup> In addition to the primary gene variant, modifier genes may also determine disease severity.<sup>240</sup> Gender disparities in genetic risk factors have also been proposed. Data suggest that women are at higher risk for QT prolongation.<sup>216,241</sup> Females may also have lower expression levels of genes controlling repolarisation.<sup>242</sup> In contrast, males are at higher risk for Brugada

syndrome, arrhythmia syndromes that are associated with reduced depolarisation of myocytes, and medication-induced conditions that are associated with a reduced depolarisation reserve.<sup>243</sup> Other data suggest that male gender may have lower expression levels of genes controlling depolarisation.<sup>244</sup>

Typically, genetic studies have focused on rare gene variants in highly selected populations. However, Milano et al. were the first to demonstrate that genetic mutations are associated with increased risk of sudden cardiac arrest in the general population.<sup>236</sup> Huge amounts of DNA samples in cardiac arrest patients and their further individual risk factors and portfolios are needed to allow further insights into sudden cardiac arrest genomic risk stratification, arrhythmia development and individualised prevention as well as treatment strategies.

Current recommendations following the occurrence of sudden cardiac arrest include screening for genetic disorders in first-degree family members. Screening may identify relatives who might benefit from lifestyle modification and avoidance of certain drugs. Some relatives may benefit from medical therapy and implantation of cardiac defibrillators may be appropriate in a selected group of patients and family members.<sup>210</sup> Family screening is particularly recommended if the cardiac arrest was exercise-induced,<sup>245</sup> occurred at a younger age; occurred in a young athlete with Long-QT Syndrome;<sup>246</sup> and/or was associated with a positive family history of sudden cardiac arrest.<sup>203,204,209,223,247–257</sup>

It is expected that the clinical role of genetic and epigenetic factors will be increasingly understood as research in this area continues to grow. There are currently no specific resuscitation recommendations for patients with known genomic predispositions. There are some instances where genotype-driven resuscitation strategies may theoretically be appropriate e.g., in patients with Brugada syndrome or similar conditions that are associated with reduced depolarisation, the use of amiodarone or lidocaine may facilitate the occurrence of asystole. However, there are no systematic studies that support such treatment.

## Conflict of interest

JTG declared speakers honorarium from Weinmann, Fresenius, Ratiopharm, Zoll; he is Scientific Advisor for Zoll Temperature management.

BB declared speakers honorarium from Baxalta, BayerVital, BoehringerIngelheim, ZOLL, FomF, Bard, Stemple, NovartisPharma.

JN reports funding from Elsevier for his role as Editor in Chief of the journals *Resuscitation* and *Resuscitation Plus*. He reports research funding from the National Institute for Health Research in relation to the PARAMEDIC2 trial and the AIRWAYS2 trial.

GDP reports funding from Elsevier for his role as an Editor of the journal *Resuscitation*. He reports research funding the Resuscitation Council UK and British Heart Foundation for the OHCAO Registry.

## Acknowledgements

GDP is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration (ARC) West Midlands. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

## REFERENCES

- Grasner JT, Wnent J, Herlitz J, et al. Survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Europe - results of the EuReCa TWO study. *Resuscitation* 2020;148:218–26.
- Andersen LW, Holmberg MJ, Berg KM, Donnino MW, Granfeldt A. In-hospital cardiac arrest: a review. *JAMA* 2019;321:1200–10.
- Kiguchi T, Okubo M, Nishiyama C, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest across the World: First report from the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR). *Resuscitation* 2020;152:39–49.
- Perkins GD, Brace-McDonnell SJ, Group OP. The UK Out of Hospital Cardiac Arrest Outcome (OHCAO) project. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e008736.
- Cummins RO, Chamberlain DA, Abramson NS, et al. Recommended guidelines for uniform reporting of data from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: the Utstein Style. A statement for health professionals from a task force of the American Heart Association, the European Resuscitation Council, the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, and the Australian Resuscitation Council. *Circulation* 1991;84:960–75.
- Perkins GD, Jacobs IG, Nadkarni VM, et al. Cardiac Arrest and Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Outcome Reports: Update of the Utstein Resuscitation Registry Templates for Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: A Statement for Healthcare Professionals From a Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (American Heart Association, European Resuscitation Council, Australian and New Zealand Council on Resuscitation, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, InterAmerican Heart Foundation, Resuscitation Council of Southern Africa, Resuscitation Council of Asia); and the American Heart Association Emergency Cardiovascular Care Committee and the Council on Cardiopulmonary, Critical Care, Perioperative and Resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2015;96:328–40.
- Merchant RM, Yang L, Becker LB, et al. Incidence of treated cardiac arrest in hospitalized patients in the United States. *Crit Care Med* 2011;39:2401–6.
- Benjamin EJ, Virani SS, Callaway CW, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2018 update: a report from the American heart association. *Circulation* 2018;137:e67–e492.
- Nolan JP, Soar J, Smith GB, et al. Incidence and outcome of in-hospital cardiac arrest in the United Kingdom national cardiac arrest audit. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:987–92.
- Andersen LW, Holmberg MJ, Lofgren B, Kirkegaard H, Granfeldt A. Adult in-hospital cardiac arrest in Denmark. *Resuscitation* 2019;140:31–6.
- Ong ME, Shin SD, De Souza NN, et al. Outcomes for out-of-hospital cardiac arrests across 7 countries in Asia: the Pan Asian Resuscitation Outcomes Study (PAROS). *Resuscitation* 2015;96:100–8.
- Vellano K, Crouch A, Rajdev M, McNally B. Cardiac Arrest Registry to Enhance Survival (CARES) report on the public health burden of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. 2015: online: Institute of Medicine; 2021.
- Beck B, Bray J, Cameron P, et al. Regional variation in the characteristics, incidence and outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Australia and New Zealand: results from the Aus-ROC epistry. *Resuscitation* 2018;126:49–57.
- Grasner JT, Lefering R, Koster RW, et al. EuReCa ONE-27 Nations, ONE Europe, ONE Registry: a prospective one month analysis of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest outcomes in 27 countries in Europe. *Resuscitation* 2016;105:188–95.
- Danielis M, Chittaro M, De Monte A, Trillo G, Duri D. A five-year retrospective study of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in a north-east Italian urban area. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2019;18:67–74.



15. Gach D, Nowak JU, Krzych LJ. Epidemiology of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the Bielsko-Biala district: a 12-month analysis. *Kardiologia Polska* 2016;74:1180–7.
16. Hawkes C, Booth S, Ji C, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes from out-of-hospital cardiac arrests in England. *Resuscitation* 2017;110:133–40.
17. Mauri R, Burkart R, Benvenuti C, et al. Better management of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest increases survival rate and improves neurological outcome in the Swiss Canton Ticino. *Europace* 2016;18:398–404.
18. Requena-Morales R, Palazon-Bru A, Rizo-Baeza MM, Adsuar-Quesada JM, Gil-Guillen VF, Cortes-Castell E. Mortality after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in a Spanish Region. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0175818.
19. Soholm H, Hassager C, Lippert F, et al. Factors Associated with Successful Resuscitation After Out-of-hospital Cardiac Arrest and Temporal Trends in Survival and Comorbidity. *Ann Emerg Med* 2015;65: 523-31 e2.
20. Rosell-Ortiz F, Escalada-Roig X, Fernandez Del Valle P, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) attended by mobile emergency teams with a physician on board. Results of the Spanish OHCA Registry (OSHCAR). *Resuscitation* 2017;113:90–5.
- 20a. Tjelmeland IBM, Alm-Kruse K, Andersson LJ, et al. Cardiac arrest as a reportable condition: a cohort study of the first 6 years of the Norwegian out-of-hospital cardiac arrest registry. *BMJ Open* 2020;10:e038133.
21. Tjelmeland IBM, Masterson S, Herlitz J, et al. Description of Emergency Medical Services, treatment of cardiac arrest patients and cardiac arrest registries in Europe. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2020;28:103.
22. Lee SCL, Mao DR, Ng YY, et al. Emergency medical dispatch services across Pan-Asian countries: a web-based survey. *BMC Emerg Med* 2020;20:1.
23. Sun KM, Song KJ, Shin SD, et al. Comparison of Emergency Medical Services and Trauma Care Systems Among Pan-Asian Countries: An International, Multicenter, Population-Based Survey. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2017;21:242–51.
24. Davis DP, Garberson LA, Andrusiek DL, et al. A descriptive analysis of Emergency Medical Service Systems participating in the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium (ROC) network. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2007;11:369–82.
25. Govindarajan P, Lin L, Landman A, et al. Practice variability among the EMS systems participating in Cardiac Arrest Registry to Enhance Survival (CARES). *Resuscitation* 2012;83:76–80.
26. Oving I, Masterson S, Tjelmeland IBM, et al. First-response treatment after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a survey of current practices across 29 countries in Europe. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2019;27:112.
27. Nolan JP, Soar J, Cariou A, et al. European resuscitation council and european society of intensive care medicine guidelines for post-resuscitation care 2015: Section 5 of the European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015. *Resuscitation* 2015;95:202–22.
28. Aissaoui N, Bougouin W, Dumas F, et al. Age and benefit of early coronary angiography after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in patients presenting with shockable rhythm: Insights from the Sudden Death Expertise Center registry. *Resuscitation* 2018;128:126–31.
29. Cronier P, Vignon P, Bouferrache K, et al. Impact of routine percutaneous coronary intervention after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation. *Crit Care* 2011;15:R122.
30. Demirel F, Rasoul S, Elvan A, et al. Impact of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction admitted for primary percutaneous coronary intervention: impact of ventricular fibrillation in STEMI patients. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2015;4:16–23.
31. Dumas F, Bougouin W, Geri G, et al. Emergency percutaneous coronary intervention in post-cardiac arrest patients without ST-segment elevation pattern: insights from the PROCAT II registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2016;9:1011–8.
32. Geri G, Dumas F, Bougouin W, et al. Immediate percutaneous coronary intervention is associated with improved short- and long-term survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2015;8:e007581.
33. Grasner JT, Meybohm P, Caliebe A, et al. Postresuscitation care with mild therapeutic hypothermia and coronary intervention after out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a prospective registry analysis. *Crit Care* 2011;15:R61.
34. Lemkes JS, Janssens GN, van der Hoeven NW, et al. Coronary angiography after cardiac arrest without ST-segment elevation. *N Engl J Med* 2019;380:1397–407.
35. Tranberg T, Lippert FK, Christensen EF, et al. Distance to invasive heart centre, performance of acute coronary angiography, and angioplasty and associated outcome in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a nationwide study. *Eur Heart J* 2017;38:1645–52.
36. Vadeboncoeur TF, Chikani V, Hu C, Spaite DW, Bobrow BJ. Association between coronary angiography with or without percutaneous coronary intervention and outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2018;127:21–5.
37. Wnent J, Seewald S, Heringlake M, et al. Choice of hospital after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest—a decision with far-reaching consequences: a study in a large German city. *Crit Care* 2012;16:R164.
38. Greif R. Education, implementation, and teams 2020 international consensus on cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care science with treatment recommendations. *Resuscitation* 2020.
39. von Vopelius-Feldt J, Brandling J, Bengler J. Systematic review of the effectiveness of prehospital critical care following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2017;114:40–6.
40. Bottiger BW, Bernhard M, Knapp J, Nagele P. Influence of EMS-physician presence on survival after out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2016;20:4.
41. Zive D, Koprowicz K, Schmidt T, et al. Variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitation and transport practices in the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium: ROC Epistry-Cardiac Arrest. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:277–84.
42. Okubo M, Schmicker RH, Wallace DJ, et al. Variation in Survival After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest Between Emergency Medical Services Agencies. *JAMA Cardiol* 2018;3:989–99.
43. Nikolaou N, Castren M, Monsieurs KG, et al. Time delays to reach dispatch centres in different regions in Europe. Are we losing the window of opportunity? - The EUROCALL study. *Resuscitation* 2017;111:8–13.
44. Ageron FX, Debaty G, Gayet-Ageron A, et al. Impact of an emergency medical dispatch system on survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a population-based study. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2016;24:53.
45. Considine J, Gazmuri RJ, Perkins GD, et al. Chest compression components (rate, depth, chest wall recoil and leaning): A scoping review. *Resuscitation* 2020;146:188–202.
46. Newman MM. Chain of survival concept takes hold. *J Emerg Med Serv* 1989;14:11–3.
47. Cummins RO, Ornato JP, Thies WH, Pepe PE. Improving survival from sudden cardiac arrest: the "chain of survival" concept. A statement for health professionals from the Advanced Cardiac Life Support Subcommittee and the Emergency Cardiac Care Committee, American Heart Association. *Circulation* 1991;83:1832–47.
48. Hasselqvist-Ax I, Riva G, Herlitz J, et al. Early cardiopulmonary resuscitation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372:2307–15.
49. Christensen DM, Rajan S, Kragholm K, et al. Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation and survival in patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest of non-cardiac origin. *Resuscitation* 2019;140:98–105.
50. Bottiger BW, Lockett A, Aickin R, et al. "All citizens of the world can save a life" - The World Restart a Heart (WRAH) initiative starts in 2018. *Resuscitation* 2018;128:188–90.



51. Olasveengen TM, Mancini ME, Perkins GD, et al. Adult Basic Life Support: 2020 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation* 2020;142:S41–91.
52. Maurer H, Masterson S, Tjelmeland IB, et al. When is a bystander not a bystander any more? A European survey. *Resuscitation* 2019;136:78–84.
53. Blom MT, Beesems SG, Homma PC, et al. Improved survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and use of automated external defibrillators. *Circulation* 2014;130:1868–75.
54. Zijlstra JA, Stieglis R, Riedijk F, Smeekes M, van der Worp WE, Koster RW. Local lay rescuers with AEDs, alerted by text messages, contribute to early defibrillation in a Dutch out-of-hospital cardiac arrest dispatch system. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:1444–9.
55. Claesson A, Herlitz J, Svensson L, et al. Defibrillation before EMS arrival in western Sweden. *Am J Emerg Med* 2017;35:1043–8.
56. Agerskov M, Nielsen AM, Hansen CM, et al. Public access defibrillation: great benefit and potential but infrequently used. *Resuscitation* 2015;96:53–8.
57. Claesson A, Fredman D, Svensson L, et al. Unmanned aerial vehicles (drones) in out-of-hospital-cardiac-arrest. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2016;24:124.
58. Caputo ML, Muschietti S, Burkart R, et al. Lay persons alerted by mobile application system initiate earlier cardio-pulmonary resuscitation: a comparison with SMS-based system notification. *Resuscitation* 2017;114:73–8.
59. Berglund E, Claesson A, Nordberg P, et al. A smartphone application for dispatch of lay responders to out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. *Resuscitation* 2018;126:160–5.
60. Sarkisian L, Mickley H, Schakow H, et al. Global positioning system alerted volunteer first responders arrive before emergency medical services in more than four out of five emergency calls. *Resuscitation* 2020;152:170–6.
61. Verbrugge M, De Ridder M, Kalaai M, Mortelmans K, Calle P, Braeckman L. Presence and use of automated external defibrillators in occupational setting, Belgium. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* 2018;31:603–11.
62. Monsieurs KG, Nolan JP, Bossaert LL, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015: Section 1. Executive summary. *Resuscitation* 2015;95:1–80.
63. Yan S, Gan Y, Jiang N, et al. The global survival rate among adult out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients who received cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2020;24:61.
64. Luc G, Baert V, Escutnaire J, et al. Epidemiology of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a French national incidence and mid-term survival rate study. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med* 2019;38:131–5.
65. Masterson S, Stromsoe A, Cullinan J, Deasy C, Vellinga A. Apples to apples: can differences in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest incidence and outcomes between Sweden and Ireland be explained by core Utstein variables? *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2018;26:37.
66. Blom MT, Oving I, Berdowski J, van Valkengoed IGM, Bardai A, Tan HL. Women have lower chances than men to be resuscitated and survive out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:3824–34.
67. Nehme Z, Andrew E, Bernard S, Smith K. Sex differences in the quality-of-life and functional outcome of cardiac arrest survivors. *Resuscitation* 2019;137:21–8.
68. Andrew E, Nehme Z, Lijovic M, Bernard S, Smith K. Outcomes following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with an initial cardiac rhythm of asystole or pulseless electrical activity in Victoria, Australia. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:1633–9.
69. Dumas F, Rea TD. Long-term prognosis following resuscitation from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: role of aetiology and presenting arrest rhythm. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:1001–5.
70. Mader TJ, Nathanson BH, Millay S, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest outcomes stratified by rhythm analysis. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:1358–62.
71. Sasson C, Rogers MA, Dahl J, Kellermann AL. Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2010;3:63–81.
72. Andrew E, Nehme Z, Bernard S, Smith K. The influence of comorbidity on survival and long-term outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2017;110:42–7.
73. Hirlekar G, Jonsson M, Karlsson T, Hollenberg J, Albertsson P, Herlitz J. Comorbidity and survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2018;133:118–23.
74. Herlitz J, Eek M, Holmberg M, Engdahl J, Holmberg S. Characteristics and outcome among patients having out of hospital cardiac arrest at home compared with elsewhere. *Heart* 2002;88:579–82.
75. Iwami T, Hiraide A, Nakanishi N, et al. Outcome and characteristics of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest according to location of arrest: a report from a large-scale, population-based study in Osaka, Japan. *Resuscitation* 2006;69:221–8.
76. Brown TP, Booth S, Hawkes CA, et al. Characteristics of neighbourhoods with high incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and low bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation rates in England. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes* 2019;5:51–62.
77. Jonsson M, Harkonen J, Ljungman P, et al. Survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is associated with area-level socioeconomic status. *Heart* 2019;105:632–8.
78. Zhao D, Post WS, Blasco-Colmenares E, et al. Racial Differences in Sudden Cardiac Death. *Circulation* 2019;139:1688–97.
79. Chocron R, Loeb T, Lamhaut L, et al. Ambulance Density and Outcomes After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac arrest. *Circulation* 2019;139:1262–71.
80. Yeung J, Matsuyama T, Bray J, Reynolds J, Skrifvars MB. Does care at a cardiac arrest centre improve outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest? - A systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2019;137:102–15.
81. Khera R, CarlLee S, Blevins A, Schweizer M, Girotra S. Early coronary angiography and survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Open Heart* 2018;5:e000809.
82. Arrich J, Holzer M, Havel C, Mullner M, Herkner H. Hypothermia for neuroprotection in adults after cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;2:CD004128.
83. Kirkegaard H, Soreide E, de Haas I, et al. Targeted Temperature Management for 48 Vs 24 Hours and Neurologic Outcome after Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2017;318:341–50.
84. Lascarrou JB, Merdji H, Le Gouge A, et al. Targeted Temperature Management for Cardiac Arrest with Nonshockable Rhythm. *N Engl J Med* 2019;381:2327–37.
85. Schenone AL, Cohen A, Patarroyo G, et al. Therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest: A systematic review/meta-analysis exploring the impact of expanded criteria and targeted temperature. *Resuscitation* 2016;108:102–10.
86. Balian S, Buckler DG, Blewer AL, Bhardwaj A, Abella BS, Group CS. Variability in survival and post-cardiac arrest care following successful resuscitation from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2019;137:78–86.
87. Stub D, Schmicker RH, Anderson ML, et al. Association between hospital post-resuscitative performance and clinical outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2015;92:45–52.
88. Soholm H, Wachtell K, Nielsen SL, et al. Tertiary centres have improved survival compared to other hospitals in the Copenhagen area after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2013;84:162–7.
89. Stub D, Smith K, Bray J, Bernard S, Duffy S, Kaye D. Hospital characteristics are associated with patient outcomes following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Heart* 2011;97:1489–94.
90. Worthington H, Pickett W, Morrison LJ, et al. The impact of hospital experience with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients on post cardiac arrest care. *Resuscitation* 2017;110:169–75.
- Iwami T, Nichol G, Hiraide A, et al. Continuous improvements in "chain of survival" increased survival after out-of-hospital cardiac

91. arrests: a large-scale population-based study. *Circulation* 2009;119:728–34.
92. Koyama S, Gibo K, Yamaguchi Y, Okubo M. Variation in survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest between receiving hospitals in Japan: an observational study. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e033919.
93. Stromsoe A, Svensson L, Axelsson AB, et al. Improved outcome in Sweden after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and possible association with improvements in every link in the chain of survival. *Eur Heart J* 2015;36:863–71.
94. Wissenberg M, Lippert Fk, Folke F, et al. Association of national initiatives to improve cardiac arrest management with rates of bystander intervention and patient survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA* 2013;310:1377–84.
95. Moller SG, Wissenberg M, Moller-Hansen S, et al. Regional variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: Incidence and survival - A nationwide study of regions in Denmark. *Resuscitation* 2020;148:191–9.
96. Pasupula DK, Bhat AG, Meera SJ, Siddappa Malleshappa SK. Influence of comorbidity on survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the United States. *Resuscitation* 2019;145:21–5.
97. Perkins GD, Ji C, Deakin CD, et al. A Randomized Trial of Epinephrine in Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2018;379:711–21.
98. Riva G, Ringh M, Jonsson M, et al. Survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest after standard cardiopulmonary resuscitation or chest compressions only before arrival of emergency medical services: nationwide study during three guideline periods. *Circulation* 2019.
99. Nordberg P, Taccone FS, Truhlar A, et al. Effect of trans-nasal evaporative intra-arrest cooling on functional neurologic outcome in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: the PRINCESS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2019;321:1677–85.
100. Brooks SC, Schmicker RH, Cheskes S, et al. Variability in the initiation of resuscitation attempts by emergency medical services personnel during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2017;117:102–8.
101. Grasner JT, Whent J, Seewald S, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation traumatic cardiac arrest—there are survivors. An analysis of two national emergency registries. *Crit Care* 2011;15: R276.
102. Leis CC, Hernandez CC, Blanco MJ, Paterna PC, Hernandez Rde E, Torres EC. Traumatic cardiac arrest: should advanced life support be initiated? *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2013;74:634–8.
103. Rosemurgy AS, Norris PA, Olson SM, Hurst JM, Albrink MH. Prehospital traumatic cardiac arrest: the cost of futility. *J Trauma* 1993;35:468–73.
104. Truhlar A, Deakin CD, Soar J, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015: Section 4. Cardiac arrest in special circumstances. *Resuscitation* 2015;95:148–201.
105. Nitta M, Iwami T, Kitamura T, et al. Age-specific differences in outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. *Pediatrics* 2011;128: e812–20.
106. Goto Y, Funada A, Goto Y. Duration of prehospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation and favorable neurological outcomes for pediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrests: a nationwide, population-based cohort study. *Circulation* 2016;134:2046–59.
107. Fukuda T, Ohashi-Fukuda N, Kobayashi H, et al. Conventional Versus Compression-Only Versus No-Bystander Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation for Pediatric Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *Circulation* 2016;134:2060–70.
108. Bardai A, Berdowski J, van der Werf C, et al. Incidence, causes, and outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in children. A comprehensive, prospective, population-based study in the Netherlands. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57:1822–8.
109. Rosell-Ortiz F, Mellado-Vergel FJ, Lopez-Messa JB, et al. Survival and neurological status after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the pediatric population in Andalusia. *Med Intensiva* 2016;40:163–8.
110. Herlitz J, Svensson L, Engdahl J, et al. Characteristics of cardiac arrest and resuscitation by age group: an analysis from the Swedish Cardiac Arrest Registry. *Am J Emerg Med* 2007;25:1025–31.
111. Gelberg J, Stromsoe A, Hollenberg J, et al. Improving Survival and Neurologic Function for Younger Age Groups After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in Sweden: A 20-year Comparison. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2015;16:750–7.
112. Nolan JP, Berg RA, Andersen LW, et al. Cardiac Arrest and Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Outcome Reports: Update of the Utstein Resuscitation Registry Template for In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: A Consensus Report From a Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (American Heart Association, European Resuscitation Council, Australian and New Zealand Council on Resuscitation, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, InterAmerican Heart Foundation, Resuscitation Council of Southern Africa, Resuscitation Council of Asia). *Resuscitation* 2019;144:166–77.
113. Aune S, Herlitz J, Bang A. Characteristics of patients who die in hospital with no attempt at resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2005;65:291–9.
114. van Delden JJ, Lofmark R, Deliens L, et al. Do-not-resuscitate decisions in six European countries. *Crit Care Med* 2006;34: 1686–90.
115. Adamski J, Nowakowski P, Gorynski P, Onichimowski D, Weigl W. Incidence of in-hospital cardiac arrest in Poland. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther* 2016;48:288–93.
116. Hessel F, Karlsson T, Lundgren P, et al. Factors of importance to 30-day survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest in Sweden - A population-based register study of more than 18,000 cases. *Int J Cardiol* 2018;255:237–42.
117. Radeschi G, Mina A, Berta G, et al. Incidence and outcome of in-hospital cardiac arrest in Italy: a multicentre observational study in the Piedmont Region. *Resuscitation* 2017;119:48–55.
118. Bergum D, Nordseth T, Mjølstad OC, Skogvoll E, Haugen BO. Causes of in-hospital cardiac arrest - incidences and rate of recognition. *Resuscitation* 2015;87:63–8.
119. Mosca L, Barrett-Connor E, Wenger NK. Sex/gender differences in cardiovascular disease prevention: what a difference a decade makes. *Circulation* 2011;124:2145–54.
120. Schwendimann R, Blatter C, Dhaini S, Simon M, Ausserhofer D. The occurrence, types, consequences and preventability of in-hospital adverse events - a scoping review. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2018;18:521.
121. Pearse RM, Moreno RP, Bauer P, et al. Mortality after surgery in Europe: a 7 day cohort study. *Lancet* 2012;380:1059–65.
122. Alam N, Hobbelink EI, van Tienhoven AJ, van de Ven Pm, Jansma Ep, Nanayakkara Pw. The impact of the use of the Early Warning Score (EWS) on patient outcomes: a systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:587–94.
123. Whitaker DK, Nolan JP, Castren M, Abela C, Goldik Z. Implementing a standard internal telephone number 2222 for cardiac arrest calls in all hospitals in Europe. *Resuscitation* 2017;115:A14–5.
124. Maharaj R, Raffaele I, Wendon J. Rapid response systems: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2015;19:254.
125. Psirides AJ, Hill J, Jones D. Rapid Response Team activation in New Zealand hospitals—a multicentre prospective observational study. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2016;44:391–7.
126. Bircher NG, Chan PS, Xu Y. American Heart Association's Get with the Guidelines-Resuscitation I. Delays in cardiopulmonary resuscitation, defibrillation, and epinephrine administration all decrease survival in in-hospital cardiac arrest. *Anesthesiology* 2019;130:414–22.
127. Tirkkonen J, Tamminen T, Skrifvars MB. Outcome of adult patients attended by rapid response teams: a systematic review of the literature. *Resuscitation* 2017;112:43–52.
128. Al-Dury N, Rawshani A, Israelsson J, et al. Characteristics and outcome among 14,933 adult cases of in-hospital cardiac arrest: a nationwide study with the emphasis on gender and age. *Am J Emerg Med* 2017;35:1839–44.
129. Hirlekar G, Karlsson T, Aune S, et al. Survival and neurological outcome in the elderly after in-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2017;118:101–6.

130. Adielsson A, Karlsson T, Aune S, et al. A 20-year perspective of in hospital cardiac arrest: Experiences from a university hospital with focus on wards with and without monitoring facilities. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;216:194–9.
131. Thoren A, Rawshani A, Herlitz J, et al. ECG-monitoring of in-hospital cardiac arrest and factors associated with survival. *Resuscitation* 2020;150:130–8.
132. Herlitz J, Aune S, Bang A, et al. Very high survival among patients defibrillated at an early stage after in-hospital ventricular fibrillation on wards with and without monitoring facilities. *Resuscitation* 2005;66:159–66.
133. Geddes LA, Roeder RA, Kemeny A, Otlewski M. The duration of ventricular fibrillation required to produce pulseless electrical activity. *Am J Emerg Med* 2005;23:138–41.
134. Memar M, Geara SJ, Hjalmarsson P, Allberg A, Bouzereau M, Djarv T. Long-term mortality and morbidity among 30-day survivors after in-hospital cardiac arrests - a Swedish cohort study. *Resuscitation* 2018;124:76–9.
135. Widestedt H, Giesecke J, Karlsson P, Jakobsson JG. In-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitation performed by the hospital emergency team: a 6-year retrospective register analysis at Danderyd University Hospital, Sweden. *F1000Res* 2018;7:1013.
136. O'Sullivan E, Deasy C. In-hospital Cardiac Arrest at Cork University Hospital. *Ir Med J* 2016;109:335–8.
137. Piscator E, Hedberg P, Goransson K, Djarv T. Survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest is highly associated with the Age-combined Charlson Co-morbidity Index in a cohort study from a two-site Swedish University hospital. *Resuscitation* 2016;99:79–83.
138. Jennett B, Bond M. Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. *Lancet* 1975;1:480–4.
139. van Swieten JC, Koudstaal PJ, Visser MC, Schouten HJ, van Gijn J. Interobserver agreement for the assessment of handicap in stroke patients. *Stroke* 1988;19:604–7.
140. Whitehead L, Perkins GD, Clarey A, Haywood KL. A systematic review of the outcomes reported in cardiac arrest clinical trials: the need for a core outcome set. *Resuscitation* 2015;88:150–7.
141. Haywood K, Whitehead L, Nadkarni VM, et al. COSCA (Core Outcome Set for Cardiac Arrest) in Adults: An Advisory Statement From the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2018;127:147–63.
142. Haywood K, Whitehead L, Nadkarni VM, et al. COSCA (Core Outcome Set for Cardiac Arrest) in adults: an advisory statement from the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. *Circulation* 2018;137:e783–801.
- 142a. Topjian AA, Scholefield BR, Pinto NP, et al. P-COSCA (Pediatric Core Outcome Set for Cardiac Arrest) in Children: An Advisory Statement From the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2021 online <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.01.023>.
143. Djarv T, Bremer A, Herlitz J, et al. Health-related quality of life after surviving an out-of-hospital compared to an in-hospital cardiac arrest: a Swedish population-based registry study. *Resuscitation* 2020;151:77–84.
144. Scarpino M, Lolli F, Lanzo G, et al. Neurophysiology and neuroimaging accurately predict poor neurological outcome within 24 hours after cardiac arrest: the ProNeCA prospective multicentre prognostication study. *Resuscitation* 2019;143:115–23.
145. Polanowska KE, Sarzynska-Dlugosz IM, Paprot AE, et al. Neuropsychological and neurological sequelae of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and the estimated need for neurorehabilitation: a prospective pilot study. *Kardiologia Polska* 2014;72:814–22.
146. Caro-Codon J, Rey JR, Lopez-de-Sa E, et al. Long-term neurological outcomes in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients treated with targeted-temperature management. *Resuscitation* 2018;133:33–9.
147. Green CR, Botha JA, Tiruvoipati R. Cognitive function, quality of life and mental health in survivors of our-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a review. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2015;43:568–76.
148. Lilja G, Nielsen N, Friberg H, et al. Cognitive Function in Survivors of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest after Target Temperature Management at 33 degrees C versus 36 degrees C. *Circulation* 2015;131:1340–9.
149. Orbo M, Aslaksen PM, Larsby K, et al. Determinants of cognitive outcome in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:1462–8.
150. Tiainen M, Poutiainen E, Oksanen T, et al. Functional outcome, cognition and quality of life after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and therapeutic hypothermia: data from a randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2015;23:12.
151. Orbo M, Aslaksen PM, Larsby K, Schafer C, Tande PM, Anke A. Alterations in cognitive outcome between 3 and 12 months in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2016;105:92–9.
152. Steinbusch CVM, van Heugten CM, Rasquin SMC, Verbunt JA, Moulart VRM. Cognitive impairments and subjective cognitive complaints after survival of cardiac arrest: a prospective longitudinal cohort study. *Resuscitation* 2017;120:132–7.
153. Juan E, De Lucia M, Beaud V, et al. How Do You Feel? Subjective Perception of Recovery as a Reliable Surrogate of Cognitive and Functional Outcome in Cardiac Arrest Survivors. *Crit Care Med* 2018;46:e286–93.
154. van Zelle L, Buysse C, Madderom M, et al. Long-term neuropsychological outcomes in children and adolescents after cardiac arrest. *Intensive Care Med* 2015;41:1057–66.
155. van Zelle L, Utens EM, Madderom M, et al. Cardiac arrest in infants, children, and adolescents: long-term emotional and behavioral functioning. *Eur J Pediatr* 2016;175:977–86.
156. van Zelle L, Utens EM, Legerstee JS, et al. Cardiac Arrest in Children: Long-Term Health Status and Health-related Quality of Life. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2015;16:693–702.
157. Haywood KL, Pearson N, Morrison LJ, Castren M, Lilja G, Perkins GD. Assessing health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review of patient-reported outcome measures. *Resuscitation* 2018;123:22–37.
158. Geri G, Dumas F, Bonnetain F, et al. Predictors of long-term functional outcome and health-related quality of life after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2017;113:77–82.
159. Moulart VRM, van Heugten CM, Gorgels TPM, Wade DT, Verbunt JA. Long-term Outcome After Survival of a Cardiac Arrest: A Prospective Longitudinal Cohort Study. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2017;31:530–9.
160. Ketildottir A, Albertsdottir HR, Akadottir SH, Gunnarsdottir TJ, Jonsdottir H. The experience of sudden cardiac arrest: becoming reawakened to life. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2014;13:429–35.
161. Lilja G, Nielsen N, Bro-Jeppesen J, et al. Return to Work and Participation in Society After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2018;11:e003566.
162. Bohm M, Lilja G, Finnbogadottir H, et al. Detailed analysis of health-related quality of life after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2019;135:197–204.
163. Cronberg T, Lilja G, Horn J, et al. Neurologic Function and Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients Following Targeted Temperature Management at 33 degrees C vs 36 degrees C after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Neurol* 2015;72:634–41.
164. Tiainen M, Vaahersalo J, Skrifvars MB, Hastbacka J, Gronlund J, Pettila V. Surviving out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: the neurological and functional outcome and health-related quality of life one year later. *Resuscitation* 2018;129:19–23.
165. Baldi E, Vanini B, Savastano S, Danza AI, Martinelli V, Politi P. Depression after a cardiac arrest: an unpredictable issue to always investigate for. *Resuscitation* 2018;127:e10–1.
166. Mion M, Al-Janabi F, Islam S, et al. Care after resuscitation: implementation of the United Kingdom's First Dedicated Multidisciplinary Follow-Up Program for Survivors of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *Ther Hypothermia Temp Manage* 2020;10:53–9.

167. Verberne D, Moulaert V, Verbunt J, van Heugten C. Factors predicting quality of life and societal participation after survival of a cardiac arrest: a prognostic longitudinal cohort study. *Resuscitation* 2018;123:51–7.
168. Viktorissson A, Sunnerhagen KS, Johansson D, Herlitz J, Axelsson A. One-year longitudinal study of psychological distress and self-assessed health in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e029756.
169. Israelsson J, Bremer A, Herlitz J, et al. Health status and psychological distress among in-hospital cardiac arrest survivors in relation to gender. *Resuscitation* 2017;114:27–33.
170. Lilja G, Nilsson G, Nielsen N, et al. Anxiety and depression among out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survivors. *Resuscitation* 2015;97:68–75.
171. Wilson M, Staniforth A, Till R, das Nair R, Vesey P. The psychosocial outcomes of anoxic brain injury following cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:795–800.
172. Davies SE, Rhys M, Voss S, Greenwood R, Thomas M, Bengler JR. Psychological wellbeing in survivors of cardiac arrest, and its relationship to neurocognitive function. *Resuscitation* 2017;111:22–5.
173. Wachelder EM, Moulaert VR, van Heugten C, Gorgels T, Wade DT, Verbunt JA. Dealing with a life changing event: the influence of spirituality and coping style on quality of life after survival of a cardiac arrest or myocardial infarction. *Resuscitation* 2016;109:81–6.
174. Frisch S, Thiel F, Schroeter ML, Jentsch RT. Apathy and Cognitive Deficits in Patients with Transient Global Ischemia After Cardiac Arrest. *Cogn Behav Neurol* 2017;30:172–5.
175. Beesems SG, Wittebrood KM, de Haan RJ, Koster RW. Cognitive function and quality of life after successful resuscitation from cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:1269–74.
176. Van't Wout Hofland J, Moulaert V, van Heugten C, Verbunt J. Long-term quality of life of caregivers of cardiac arrest survivors and the impact of witnessing a cardiac event of a close relative. *Resuscitation* 2018;128:198–203.
177. Zimmerli M, Tisljar K, Balestra GM, Langewitz W, Marsch S, Hunziker S. Prevalence and risk factors for post-traumatic stress disorder in relatives of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:801–8.
178. van Wijnen HG, Rasquin SM, van Heugten CM, Verbunt JA, Moulaert VR. The impact of cardiac arrest on the long-term wellbeing and caregiver burden of family caregivers: a prospective cohort study. *Clin Rehabil* 2017;31:1267–75.
179. Orbo M, Aslaksen PM, Larsby K, et al. Relevance of cognition to health-related quality of life in good-outcome survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *J Rehabil Med* 2015;47:860–6.
180. Kragholm K, Wissenberg M, Mortensen RN, et al. Return to Work in Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest Survivors: A Nationwide Register-Based Follow-Up Study. *Circulation* 2015;131:1682–90.
181. Descatha A, Dumas F, Bougouin W, Cariou A, Geri G. Work factors associated with return to work in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survivors. *Resuscitation* 2018;128:170–4.
182. Elliott VJ, Rodgers DL, Brett SJ. Systematic review of quality of life and other patient-centred outcomes after cardiac arrest survival. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:247–56.
183. Moulaert VRMP, Verbunt JA, van Heugten CM, Wade DT. Cognitive impairments in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:297–305.
184. Wilder Schaaf KP, Artman LK, Peberdy MA, et al. Anxiety, depression, and PTSD following cardiac arrest: a systematic review of the literature. *Resuscitation* 2013;84:873–7.
185. Adiguzel E, Yasar E, Kesikburun S, et al. Are rehabilitation outcomes after severe anoxic brain injury different from severe traumatic brain injury? A matched case-control study. *Int J Rehabil Res* 2018;41:47–51.
186. Smania N, Avesani R, Roncari L, et al. Factors predicting functional and cognitive recovery following severe traumatic, anoxic, and cerebrovascular brain damage. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2013;28:131–40.
187. Tazopoulou E, Miljkovitch R, Truelle JL, et al. Rehabilitation following cerebral anoxia: an assessment of 27 patients. *Brain Inj* 2016;30:95–103.
188. van Erp WS, Lavrijsen JC, Vos PE, Bor H, Laureys S, Koopmans RT. The vegetative state: prevalence, misdiagnosis, and treatment limitations. *J Am Med Assoc* 2015;316: 85 e9-e14.
189. Howell K, Grill E, Klein AM, Straube A, Bender A. Rehabilitation outcome of anoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy survivors with prolonged disorders of consciousness. *Resuscitation* 2013;84:1409–15.
190. Heinz UE, Rolnik JD. Outcome and prognosis of hypoxic brain damage patients undergoing neurological early rehabilitation. *BMC Res Notes* 2015;8:243.
191. Estraneo A, Moretta P, Loreto V, et al. Predictors of recovery of responsiveness in prolonged anoxic vegetative state. *Neurology* 2013;80:464–70.
192. Israelsson J, Lilja G, Bremer A, Stevenson-Agren J, Arestedt K. Post cardiac arrest care and follow-up in Sweden - a national web-survey. *BMC Nurs* 2016;15:1.
193. Israelsson J, Lilja G. [Post cardiac arrest follow-up - Swedish guidelines available]. *Lakartidningen* 2019;116:1.
194. Moulaert VR, Goossens M, Heijnders IL, Verbunt JA, Heugten CM. Early neurologically focused follow-up after cardiac arrest is cost-effective: A trial-based economic evaluation. *Resuscitation* 2016;106:30–6.
195. Moulaert VR, van Haastregt JC, Wade DT, van Heugten CM, Verbunt JA. 'Stand still . . . , and move on', an early neurologically-focused follow-up for cardiac arrest survivors and their caregivers: a process evaluation. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2014;14:34.
196. Moulaert VR, van Heugten CM, Winkens B, et al. Early neurologically-focused follow-up after cardiac arrest improves quality of life at one year: A randomised controlled trial. *Int J Cardiol* 2015;193:8–16.
197. Moulaert VR, Verbunt JA, Bakx WG, et al. 'Stand still . . . , and move on', a new early intervention service for cardiac arrest survivors and their caregivers: rationale and description of the intervention. *Clin Rehabil* 2011;25:867–79.
198. Moulaert VR, Wachelder EM, Verbunt JA, Wade DT, van Heugten CM. Determinants of quality of life in survivors of cardiac arrest. *J Rehabil Med* 2010;42:553–8.
199. Boyce LW, Goossens PH. Rehabilitation after cardiac arrest: integration of neurologic and cardiac rehabilitation. *Semin Neurol* 2017;37:94–102.
200. Boyce-van der Wal LW, Volker WG, Vliet Vlieland TP, van den Heuvel DM, van Exel HJ, Goossens PH. Cognitive problems in patients in a cardiac rehabilitation program after an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2015;93:63–8.
201. Tan HL, Dagres N, Böttiger BW, Schwartz PJ. European Sudden Cardiac Arrest Network: Towards Prevention, Education and New Effective Treatments (ESCAPE-NET): a major European Horizon 2020 project focused on cardiac arrest. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:86–8.
202. Novotny T, Kadlecova J, Raudenska M, et al. Mutation analysis ion channel genes ventricular fibrillation survivors with coronary artery disease. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2011;34:742–9.
203. Christiansen SL, Hertz CL, Ferrero-Miliani L, et al. Genetic investigation of 100 heart genes in sudden unexplained death victims in a forensic setting. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2016;24:1797–802.
204. Kumar S, Peters S, Thompson T, et al. Familial cardiological and targeted genetic evaluation: low yield in sudden unexplained death and high yield in unexplained cardiac arrest syndromes. *Heart Rhythm* 2013;10:1653–60.
205. Earle N, Yeo Han D, Pilbrow A, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in arrhythmia genes modify the risk of cardiac events and sudden death in long QT syndrome. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:76–82.
206. Horr S, Goldenberg I, Moss AJ, et al. Ion channel mechanisms related to sudden cardiac death in phenotype-negative long-QT syndrome genotype-phenotype correlations of the KCNQ1(S349W) mutation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2011;22:193–200.



207. Hendrix A, Borleffs CJ, Vink A, et al. Cardiogenetic screening of first-degree relatives after sudden cardiac death in the young: a population-based approach. *Europace* 2011;13:716–22.
208. Jouven X, Desnos M, Guerot C, Ducimetiere P. Predicting sudden death in the population: the Paris Prospective Study I. *Circulation* 1999;99:1978–83.
209. Tester DJ, Medeiros-Domingo A, Will ML, Haglund CM, Ackerman MJ. Cardiac channel molecular autopsy: insights from 173 consecutive cases of autopsy-negative sudden unexplained death referred for postmortem genetic testing. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2012;87:524–39.
210. McGorrian C, Constant O, Harper N, et al. Family-based cardiac screening in relatives of victims of sudden arrhythmic death syndrome. *Europace* 2013;15:1050–8.
211. Ashar FN, Mitchell RN, Albert CM, et al. A comprehensive evaluation of the genetic architecture of sudden cardiac arrest. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:3961–9.
212. Bezzina CR, Lahrouchi N, Priori SG. Genetics of sudden cardiac death. *Circ Res* 2015;116:1919–36.
213. Nakano Y, Shimizu W. Genetics of long-QT syndrome. *J Hum Genet* 2016;61:51–5.
214. Myerburg RJ, Junttila MJ. Sudden cardiac death caused by coronary heart disease. *Circulation* 2012;125:1043–52.
215. Jouven X, Lemaitre RN, Rea TD, Sotoodehnia N, Empana JP, Siscovick DS. Diabetes, glucose level, and risk of sudden cardiac death. *Eur Heart J* 2005;26:2142–7.
216. Roden DM. Drug-induced prolongation of the QT interval. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350:1013–22.
217. Clancy CE, Rudy Y. Cellular consequences of HERG mutations in the long QT syndrome: precursors to sudden cardiac death. *Cardiovasc Res* 2001;50:301–13.
218. Lahtinen AM, Havulinna AS, Noseworthy PA, et al. Prevalence of arrhythmia-associated gene mutations and risk of sudden cardiac death in the Finnish population. *Ann Med* 2013;45:328–35.
219. Nemeč J, Hejlík JB, Shen WK, Ackerman MJ. Catecholamine-induced T-wave lability in congenital long QT syndrome: a novel phenomenon associated with syncope and cardiac arrest. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2003;78:40–50.
220. Farrugia A, Keyser C, Hollard C, Raul JS, Muller J, Ludes B. Targeted next generation sequencing application in cardiac channelopathies: analysis of a cohort of autopsy-negative sudden unexplained deaths. *Forensic Sci Int* 2015;254:5–11.
221. Zhang M, Xue A, Shen Y, et al. Mutations of desmoglein-2 in sudden death from arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy and sudden unexplained death. *Forensic Sci Int* 2015;255:85–8.
222. Bagnall RD, Das KJ, Dufflou J, Semsarian C. Exome analysis-based molecular autopsy in cases of sudden unexplained death in the young. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:655–62.
223. Steinberg C, Padfield GJ, Champagne J, et al. Cardiac Abnormalities in First-Degree Relatives of Unexplained Cardiac Arrest Victims: A Report from the Cardiac Arrest Survivors with Preserved Ejection Fraction Registry. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;.
224. Kong T, Feulefack J, Ruether K, et al. Ethnic differences in genetic ion channelopathies associated with sudden cardiac death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Clin Lab Sci* 2017;47:481–90.
225. Frontera A, Vlachos K, Kitamura T, et al. Long-Term Follow-Up of Idiopathic Ventricular Fibrillation in a Pediatric Population: Clinical Characteristics, Management, and Complications. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2019;8:e011172.
226. Jia PL, Wang YB, Fu H, et al. Postmortem analysis of 4 mutation hotspots of KCNQ1, KCNH2, and SCN5A Genes in Sudden Unexplained Death in Southwest of China. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol* 2018;39:218–22.
227. Ackerman MJ, Tester DJ, Jones GS, Will ML, Burrow CR, Curran ME. Ethnic differences in cardiac potassium channel variants: implications for genetic susceptibility to sudden cardiac death and genetic testing for congenital long QT syndrome. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2003;78:1479–87.
228. Coll M, Ferrer-Costa C, Pich S, et al. Role of genetic and electrolyte abnormalities in prolonged QTc interval and sudden cardiac death in end-stage renal disease patients. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0200756.
229. Kohli U, Aziz Z, Beaser AD, Nayak HM. A large deletion in RYR2 exon 3 is associated with nadolol and flecainide refractory catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2019;42:1146–54.
230. Kaab S, Crawford DC, Sinner MF, et al. A large candidate gene survey identifies the KCNE1 D85N polymorphism as a possible modulator of drug-induced torsades de pointes. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2012;5:91–9.
231. Veerman CC, Verkerk AO, Blom MT, et al. Slow delayed rectifier potassium current blockade contributes importantly to drug-induced long QT syndrome. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;6:1002–9.
232. Tadros R, Tan HL, Investigators E-N, et al. Predicting cardiac electrical response to sodium-channel blockade and Brugada syndrome using polygenic risk scores. *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:3097–107.
233. Hofman N, Tan HL, Alders M, et al. Yield of molecular and clinical testing for arrhythmia syndromes: report of 15 years' experience. *Circulation* 2013;128:1513–21.
234. Schwartz PJ, Ackerman MJ, George Jr AL, Wilde AAM. Impact of genetics on the clinical management of channelopathies. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;62:169–80.
235. Marsman RF, Tan HL, Bezzina CR. Genetics of sudden cardiac death caused by ventricular arrhythmias. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2014;11:96–111.
236. Milano A, Blom MT, Lodder EM, et al. Sudden Cardiac Arrest and Rare Genetic Variants in the Community. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2016;9:147–53.
237. Kolder IC, Tanck MW, Bezzina CR. Common genetic variation modulating cardiac ECG parameters and susceptibility to sudden cardiac death. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 2012;52:620–9.
238. Snapir A, Mikkelsen J, Perola M, Penttila A, Scheinin M, Karhunen PJ. Variation in the alpha2B-adrenoceptor gene as a risk factor for prehospital fatal myocardial infarction and sudden cardiac death. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;41:190–4.
239. Sotoodehnia N, Siscovick DS, Vatta M, et al. Beta2-adrenergic receptor genetic variants and risk of sudden cardiac death. *Circulation* 2006;113:1842–8.
240. Schwartz PJ, Crotti L, George Jr AL. Modifier genes for sudden cardiac death. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:3925–31.
241. Ter Bekke RMA, Isaacs A, Barysenka A, et al. Heritability in a SCN5A-mutation founder population with increased female susceptibility to non-nocturnal ventricular tachyarrhythmia and sudden cardiac death. *Heart Rhythm* 2017;14:1873–81.
242. Pham TV, Rosen MR. Sex, hormones, and repolarization. *Cardiovasc Res* 2002;53:740–51.
243. Antzelevitch C, Brugada P, Borggrefe M, et al. Brugada syndrome: report of the second consensus conference: endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society and the European Heart Rhythm Association. *Circulation* 2005;111:659–70.
244. Pham TV, Robinson RB, Danilo Jr P, Rosen MR. Effects of gonadal steroids on gender-related differences in transmural dispersion of L-type calcium current. *Cardiovasc Res* 2002;53:752–62.
245. Giudicessi JR, Ackerman MJ. Exercise testing oversights underlie missed and delayed diagnosis of catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia in young sudden cardiac arrest survivors. *Heart Rhythm* 2019;16:1232–9.
246. Longo UG, Risi Ambrogioni L, Ciuffreda M, Maffulli N, Denaro V. Sudden cardiac death in young athletes with long QT syndrome: the role of genetic testing and cardiovascular screening. *Br Med Bull* 2018;127:43–53.
247. Wisten A, Bostrom IM, Morner S, Stattin EL. Mutation analysis of cases of sudden unexplained death, 15 years after death: prompt genetic evaluation after resuscitation can save future lives. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:1229–34.



248. Stattin EL, Westin IM, Cederquist K, et al. Genetic screening in sudden cardiac death in the young can save future lives. *Int J Legal Med* 2016;130:59–66.
249. Hertz CL, Christiansen SL, Ferrero-Miliani L, et al. Next-generation sequencing of 34 genes in sudden unexplained death victims in forensics and in patients with channelopathic cardiac diseases. *Int J Legal Med* 2015;129:793–800.
250. Nunn LM, Lopes LR, Syrris P, et al. Diagnostic yield of molecular autopsy in patients with sudden arrhythmic death syndrome using targeted exome sequencing. *Europace* 2016;18:888–96.
251. Neubauer J, Lecca MR, Russo G, et al. Exome analysis in 34 sudden unexplained death (SUD) victims mainly identified variants in channelopathy-associated genes. *Int J Legal Med* 2018;132:1057–65.
252. Hertz CL, Christiansen SL, Ferrero-Miliani L, et al. Next-generation sequencing of 100 candidate genes in young victims of suspected sudden cardiac death with structural abnormalities of the heart. *Int J Legal Med* 2016;130:91–102.
253. Mates J, Mademont-Soler I, Del Olmo B, et al. Role of copy number variants in sudden cardiac death and related diseases: genetic analysis and translation into clinical practice. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2018;26:1014–25.
254. Stepień-Wojno M, Poninska J, Rydzanicz M, et al. Sudden cardiac arrest in patients without overt heart disease: a limited value of next generation sequencing. *Pol Arch Intern Med* 2018;128:721–30.
255. Lahrouchi N, Raju H, Lodder EM, et al. Utility of Post-mortem Genetic Testing in Cases of Sudden Arrhythmic Death Syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;69:2134–45.
256. Scheiper S, Ramos-Luis E, Blanco-Verea A, et al. Sudden unexpected death in the young - Value of massive parallel sequencing in postmortem genetic analyses. *Forensic Sci Int* 2018;293:70–6.
257. Anderson JH, Tester DJ, Will ML, Ackerman MJ. Whole-exome molecular autopsy after exertion-related sudden unexplained death in the young. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2016;9:259–65.